SYNGAP1 is a Ras-GTPase-activating protein highly enriched at excitatory synapses in the brain. De novo loss-of-function mutations in SYNGAP1 are a major cause of genetically defined neurodevelopmental disorders (NDDs). These mutations are highly penetrant and cause SYNGAP1-related intellectual disability (SRID), an NDD characterized by cognitive impairment, social deficits, early-onset seizures, and sleep disturbances. Studies in rodent neurons have shown that Syngap1 regulates developing excitatory synapse structure and function, and heterozygous Syngap1 knockout mice have deficits in synaptic plasticity, learning, and memory and have seizures. However, how specific SYNGAP1 mutations found in humans lead to disease has not been investigated in vivo. To explore this, we utilized the CRISPR-Cas9 system to generate knock-in mouse models with two distinct known causal variants of SRID: one with a frameshift mutation leading to a premature stop codon, SYNGAP1; L813fsX22, and a second with a single-nucleotide mutation in an intron that creates a cryptic splice acceptor site leading to premature stop codon, SYNGAP1; c.3583-9G>A. While reduction in Syngap1 mRNA varies from 30 to 50% depending on the specific mutation, both models show ~50% reduction in Syngap1 protein, have deficits in synaptic plasticity, and recapitulate key features of SRID including hyperactivity and impaired working memory. These data suggest that half the amount of SYNGAP1 protein is key to the pathogenesis of SRID. These results provide a resource to study SRID and establish a framework for the development of therapeutic strategies for this disorder.

**Significance**

Syngap1 is an important regulator of synapse structure and function. SYNGAP1 mutations cause SYNGAP1-related intellectual disability (SRID), a neurodevelopmental disorder with cognitive impairment, social deficits, seizures, and sleep disturbances. To explore how SYNGAP1 mutations found in humans lead to disease, we generated knock-in mouse models with causal SRID variants: one with a frameshift mutation and a second with an intronic mutation that creates a cryptic splice acceptor site. Both models show decreased Synap1 mRNA and Syngap1 protein and recapitulate key features of SRID including hyperactivity and impaired working memory. These results provide a resource to study SRID and establish a framework for the development of therapeutic strategies.
A and B reach two to three times adult levels in mice at postnatal day 14 before diminishing again (20). There are four C-terminal isoforms that have been identified: α1, α2, β, and γ. The β isoform is the dominant isoform early in postnatal development, whereas α2 is the most abundant isoform at later stages. α1 is expressed at low levels during early postnatal development and increases to become the second most abundant isoform by postnatal day 42. Subcellularly, α1 is highly enriched at excitatory synapses, while the β isoform is more often in localized to the cytosol (17).

Mutations in SYNGAP1 cause SYNGAPI-related intellectual disability (SRID). SRID is characterized by neurodevelopmental delay and mild-to-severe intellectual disability (21–25). About 80 to 85% of individuals with SRID have comorbid epilepsy, a subset of which have myoclonic atatic epilepsy (Doose syndrome) or epilepsy with myoclonic absences (26). Some people with SRID also have strabismus and hypotonia with significant motor deficits (25). The occurrence of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is estimated to be as high as 50% in SRID and includes stereotypic behaviors, obsessions with certain objects, and social deficits. Poor attention, impulsivity, lack of self-preservation instinct, self-directed and other-directed aggressive behavior, elevated pain threshold, hyperacusis, and sleep disorders have also been observed (27–30). Currently, treatment for SRID is limited to physical and behavioral therapy and specialty consultations for various symptoms. No standardized guidelines are available regarding the choice of specific antiseizure medications. While some individuals with SRID are medication-responsive, at least half are treatment-resistant (26).

Heterozygous Syngap1 knockout mice recapitulate several phenotypes of SRID including deficits in learning, memory, social behavior, as well as hyperactivity, repetitive behavior, and seizures (11, 31–33). However, to date, there have been no in vivo studies of SRID pathogenesis with mouse models harboring known causal variants found in humans. To investigate the pathophysiology of SRID with the aim to open different avenues of therapeutic investigation, we generated two knock-in mouse models with known causal variants of SRID including a frameshift mutation which leads to a premature stop codon, Syngap1/+; L813RfsX222 (21) and a single-nucleotide mutation in an intron that creates a cryptic splice acceptor site and a premature stop codon, Syngap1; c.3583-9G>A (34).

Results

Generation of Knock-in Syngap1 Mutant Mice. To investigate the consequences of SRID SYNGAP1 mutations in vivo, we used the CRISPR-Cas9 system to generate two knock-in mouse models. For one model, we selected a de novo frameshift mutation that leads to a premature stop codon (Syngap1/+; L813RfsX222). Importantly, the individual carrying this mutation has been well characterized clinically and has classic features of SRID including developmental delay, intellectual disability, and epilepsy (21) (Fig. 1A, Left). For our second knock-in mouse line, we selected a de novo SYNGAP1 mutation also associated with SRID that is within an intron and creates a cryptic splice site and a premature stop codon (c.3583-9G>A) (34) (Fig. 1A, Right). To introduce mutations, Guide RNA, Cas9, and homology-directed repair DNA (HDR-DNA) oligos were injected into fertilized eggs (Fig. 1B). Additional restriction enzyme sites were introduced for screening purposes. Newborn mice were screened by PCR and restriction enzyme digest. The introduction of mutations was confirmed by Southern blot (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A and B), Sanger sequencing of genomic DNA (Fig. 1C), and Sanger sequencing of cDNA from heterozygous mice (Fig. 1D). We confirmed that the cryptic splicing mutation (c.3583-9G>A) caused aberrant splicing resulting in a 7-base pair(bp) addition within mRNA transcripts (Fig. 1D, Right, red box). In both L813RfsX222 and c.3583-9G>A lines, the chromatogram peaks of the mutant allele were consistently lower, indicating that Syngap1 mutant mRNA was unstable or inefficiently transcribed.

Syngap1/+;L813RfsX222 Mice Show 30 to 40% Less Syngap1 mRNA and 50% Less SYNGAP1 Protein. Northern blotting of whole-brain mRNA extracts from Syngap1/+;L813RfsX222 mice revealed a 30 to 40% reduction of mRNA 62.3 ± 4.1% (mean ± SEM) in comparison to wild-type controls, suggesting that mutant Syngap1 mRNA is subject to nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) (35) as expected due to the premature stop codon (Fig. 2A). This result was confirmed by quantitative PCR (qPCR) (69.9 ± 8.0% compared to wild type) (Fig. 2B). Western blotting showed that Syngap1 protein was significantly diminished in the whole brain from Syngap1/+;L813RfsX222 mice (50.7 ± 3.5% compared to wild type, ***P = 0.0001), similar to that in heterozygous Syngap1 knock-out mice, Syngap1+/-; (52.0 ± 9.7% compared to wild type, ***P = 0.0004) (Fig. 3A).

Syngap1+/-; c.3583-9G>A Mice Show 40 to 50% Less Syngap1 mRNA and Syngap1 Protein. Total RNA was extracted from whole brains of Syngap1+/-; c.3583-9G>A mice and analyzed by northern blot and qPCR. Strikingly, brains from Syngap1+/-; c.3583-9G>A mice exhibited 40 to 50% less Syngap1 mRNA by northern blot (53.2 ± 3.3%, P < 0.0001, Fig. 2A) and qPCR (62.5 ± 2.9% compared to wild type, P = 0.004, Fig. 2B), indicating that the c.3583-9G>A mutation likely results in Syngap1 mRNA undergoing NMD. Western blotting of protein extracted from the whole brain of Syngap1+/-; c.3583-9G>A revealed approximately 50% less Syngap1 protein expression (53.2 ± 2.0, P = 0.0325, two-tailed t test), similar to heterozygous Syngap1 knock-out mice (Fig. 3C). Protein expression of Syngap1 α1 and α2 C-terminal isoforms was reduced by approximately 50% (α1 49.5 ± 7.2%, P = 0.016; α2 51.5 ± 7.0%, P = 0.024). There were trends toward decreased β and γ C-terminal isoform expression, but these were not statistically significant (β: 64.5 ± 1.7%, P = 0.234; γ: 60.3 ± 1.3%, P = 0.114) (Fig. 3D).

Elevated Ras-ERK Signaling in Syngap1 Knock-Out and SRID Model Mice. Syngap1 is a Ras-GTPase-activating protein that negatively regulates Ras-ERK signaling in neurons (Fig. 3B). To investigate ERK signaling in Syngap1 mutant mice, protein was extracted from the whole brain of heterozygous knock-out (Syngap1+/-) and SRID model mice, and western blotting was performed to quantify phosphorylated (active) ERK and total ERK. There was a large increase of phosphorylated ERK over total ERK that was comparable across all mutant mouse models (Syngap1+/-: 150.3 ± 13.0%, P = 0.0425; Syngap1+/-;L813RfsX222: 144.0 ± 10.6%, P = 0.027; Syngap1+/-; c.3583-9G>A: 143.0 ± 10.8%, P = 0.018; two-tailed t test).

RNA-seq Revealed Aberrant Splicing and Converging Downstream Transcriptional Changes in Syngap1+/-; c.3583-9G>A and Syngap1+/- Mice. To examine the impact of the cryptic splice site mutation in further detail and identify transcriptional changes due to Syngap1 loss of function, we performed RNA-seq on whole-brain samples of Syngap1+/-; c.3583-9G>A and Syngap1+/- mice. Splice junction reads confirmed that the predicted cryptic splice acceptor indeed led to aberrant splicing at the exon 16-17 junction of Syngap1, which was not observed in any of the other mouse models (Fig. 4A). The large decrease (~45%) in exon 16-17 junction coverage and the relative scarcity of the cryptic splicing junction suggest that the transcripts undergo NMD due to the premature stop codon. The wild-type exon 17 displays a 6 bp 5′ exon extension encoding the two amino acids
valine and lysine (i.e., “VK” exon extension). The ratio of VK vs. non-VK splice junctions did not change in the Syngap1+/-c.3583-9G>A mice, likely excluding the modulation of this splice decision as a factor for SRID in the person with this mutation (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 A and B). Other alternative splicing events, including exon 14 skipping (36), exon 18 5′ extension (β vs. non-β), exon 18 3′ extensions, and exon 19 skipping (α1, α2, γ), did not show significant changes in the ratio (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 C–E). These results confirm that the impact of the cryptic splice acceptor in Syngap1+/-c.3583-9G>A mice is dominant to the wild-type acceptor and confined to the specific splicing site.

Fig. 1. Generation of SRID model mice. (A) Schematic of SRID mutations. SYNGAP1; L813RfsX22, a frameshift mutation leading to a premature stop codon. SYNGAP1; c.3583-9G>A, a single nucleotide mutation in an intron that creates a cryptic splice acceptor site, the addition of 17 bp at the end of exon 17, and a premature stop codon. Pedigrees of affected individuals are shown to the right of each mutation. (B) CRISPR/Cas9 gene engineering for SRID model mice. Guide RNA, Cas9 protein, and homology-directed repair DNA were injected into fertilized egg. (C) Genomic DNA isolated from heterozygous SRID mice was sequenced by Sanger sequencing. The electropherogram near the disease mutation site is shown. SRID mutations, restriction enzyme sites for screening, and protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) site deletions are shown. Underline denotes the CRISPR technical mutations. Rectangular boxes indicate the splicing acceptor site (black: splicing-1, cyan: splicing-2, red: cryptic splicing site emerged by mutation, dotted: obsolete by disease mutation). (D) cDNA isolated from heterozygous SRID mice was sequenced by Sanger sequencing. The electropherogram near the disease mutation site is shown. In Syngap1+/-L813Rfsx22 mice, sequencing confirmed SRID mutation L813RfsX22, XbaI site creation for screening, and PAM site deletions. Sequencing of cDNA from Syngap1+/-c.3583-9G>A mice confirmed the aberrant 7 bp addition at the beginning of Exon 17 (red boxes). Blue boxes indicate the 6 bp difference between splicing-1 and splicing-2. Underline denotes the CRISPR technical mutations.
reduction of Syngap1 (37). We verified in brain RNA-seq of these mice that exons 6 and 7 are skipped in a large portion of transcripts, which are not completely degraded through NMD (Fig. 4C). Perhaps due to this incomplete NMD, total estimated Syngap1 RNA expression is not significantly lower in these mice compared to wild-type littersmates. The difference with qPCR and northern blot quantification could be due to transcripts with intron retention and immature polyA tails, which affect these measures differently. Given the halved Syngap1 protein expression in brain RNA from both homozygous null mice and Syngap1+/− mice, this may be due to incomplete NMD, total estimated Syngap1 RNA expression is not significantly lower in these mice compared to wild-type littersmates. The difference with qPCR and northern blot quantification could be due to transcripts with intron retention and immature polyA tails, which affect these measures differently. Given the halved Syngap1 protein expression in brain RNA from both homozygous null mice and Syngap1+/− mice, this may be due to incomplete NMD.

We next examined the transcriptome-wide changes that result from Syngap1 loss of function in Syngap1+/− and Syngap1+/− mice. Differential expression (DE) analysis revealed significant changes in gene expression: 54 (21 increased/33 decreased, SI Appendix, Table S1) and 76 (29 increased/47 decreased, SI Appendix, Table S2) in Syngap1+/− and Syngap1+/− mice, respectively, and demonstrated convergence with commonly regulated genes including decreased Stim2, Mrm1, Sec63, and increased Elk1 expression. Elk1 is a transcription factor involved in neuronal survival and plasticity that is phosphorylated by MAPK/ERK (38). Stim2 is crucial for maintaining calcium homeostasis in neurons and plays a role in neuronal survival, synaptic plasticity, and memory (39).
The two mouse lines and littermate controls were respectively pooled for higher stringency and statistical power, which led to a refined list of 44 up-regulated and 66 down-regulated genes (SI Appendix, Table S3). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) (40, 41) of these genes revealed strong enrichment of genes involved in the canonical Wnt signaling pathway (Rps12, Nratp, Aes, and Sox2), phagocytosis (Pik3ar and Apil2), regulation of neuronal synaptic plasticity (Ctnn2 and Syngap1), Ras protein signal transduction (Plek, Map4k4, Ab12, Syngap1, and Gpm2), and neuron projection morphogenesis (Map4k4, Sema4d, Ab12, Rab8a, Syngap1, and Ctnn2), among others (Fig. 4G). Other top genes with significant changes in expression were Wwox (up-regulated), a gene previously associated with NDD, Kcnj9 (Kir3.3) (down-regulated), which may account for intrinsic excitability changes, and Camk4 (down-regulated), a major downstream kinase of CaMKII essential for excitation-transcription coupling. These results demonstrate broad transcriptional changes in Syngap1 mouse models and provide a foundation for further investigation of downstream pathways as well as for biomarker discovery.

**Mouse Models of SRID Exhibit Synaptic Plasticity Deficits.** In both mice and humans, loss of SYNGAP1 expression causes learning impairment that may result from deficits in synaptic plasticity (10, 11, 21, 32). We and others have demonstrated that
Fig. 4. RNA-seq reveals aberrant splicing and converging downstream transcriptional changes in Syngap1\textsuperscript{+/c.3583-9G>A} and Syngap1\textsuperscript{+/−} mice. (A) Splice junction read abundance quantified as Reads Per Million Gapped (RPMG) between exons 16 and 17 of Syngap1. Reads with splice donor site at the 3′ end of exon 16 spliced to the “VK” exon extension at the 5′ end of exon 17 and non-VK splice acceptor 6 bp downstream in +/+ littermates, whereas only the Syngap1\textsuperscript{+/c.3583-9G>A} mice displayed a small fraction of cryptic splice acceptor site −7 bp upstream, indicating efficient NMD. A two-way ANOVA revealed a significant effect of genotype, isoform, and interaction [genotype F (1, 5) = 50.67; P = 0.0008, isoform F (2, 10) = 297.7; P < 0.0001, interaction F (2, 10) = 49.32; P < 0.0001, n = 3 to 4 samples/group] and Šídák’s post hoc tests confirmed significant decreases in VK (P < 0.0001) and non-VK splice junction abundance (P < 0.0044). (B) Total Syngap1 expression was significantly decreased in Syngap1\textsuperscript{+/c.3583-9G>A} mice (+/+: 1.000 ± 0.027; +/c.3583-9G>A: 0.626 ± 0.039; P = 0.0007, unpaired t test). (C) Splice junction read abundance in Syngap1\textsuperscript{+/−} mice. Reads with Syngap1 splice acceptor site at the 5′ end of exon 8 spliced to the 5′ end of exon 7 in +/+ littermates, whereas only the Syngap1\textsuperscript{+/−} mice displayed aberrant exon 6 and 7 skipping, verifying the intended knockout of exons 6 and 7. Note the significant amount of exon 5-8 junction reads detected, which indicates inefficient NMD. A two-way ANOVA revealed a significant effect of isoform and interaction [genotype F (1, 4) = 2.728; P = 0.1740, isoform F (1, 4) = 124.7; P < 0.0004, interaction F (1, 4) = 40.13; P < 0.0001, n = 3 to 4 samples/group] and Šídák’s post hoc tests confirmed significant decreases in exon 7-8 (P = 0.03) and exon 4-8 splice junction abundance (P < 0.0011). (D) Total Syngap1 expression was not significantly decreased in Syngap1\textsuperscript{+/−} mice (+/+: 1.000 ± 0.087; +/−: 0.941 ± 0.040; P = 0.5714, unpaired t test). (E and F) Differential gene expression analysis of Syngap1\textsuperscript{+/c.3583-9G>A} (E) and Syngap1\textsuperscript{+/−} (F) mice reveals converging downstream regulated genes. (G) Gene set enrichment analysis of a merged dataset of both Syngap1 loss-of-function mouse lines (Syngap1\textsuperscript{+/c.3583-9G>A} and Syngap1\textsuperscript{+/−}) revealed transcriptional regulation significantly enriched in a number of biological processes (BP). Gene ratio is the portion of genes that significantly are significantly regulated from the total number of genes associated to that process. Genes with increased and decreased expression were pooled to visualize general roles of Syngap1-regulated genes rather than the polarity of regulation in each pathway.
heterozygous Syngap1 knockout (Syngap1+/−) mice have deficits in theta-burst stimulated (TBS)-induced long-term potentiation (TBS-LTP) of CA3 → CA1 synapses within the hippocampus (10, 11). Since Syngap1+/− and Syngap1−/+ c.3583-9G>A mice exhibit an approximately 50% reduction in Syngap1 protein like Syngap1−/− mice, we next sought to determine whether similar TBS-LTP deficits exist in each of the Syngap1+/− c.3583-9G>A and Syngap1+/+ c.3583-9G>A mouse models. Extracellular field recordings of TBS-LTP were performed in acute hippocampal slices of adult Syngap1+/− c.3583-9G>A and Syngap1+/+ c.3583-9G>A animals and compared to the magnitude of TBS-LTP measured in respective wild-type littermates (Fig. 5). Consistent with our data demonstrating that Syngap1 protein and mRNA level are reduced with single allelic mutation of either L813RfsX22 or c.3583G>A, TBS-LTP was similarly decreased by 45.2% and 50.1%, respectively (Syngap1+/− L813RfsX22: 131.1 ± 5.80% LTP, n = 12; Syngap1+/−: 156.8 ± 7.88% LTP, n = 10; Mann–Whitney U test, P = 0.0169) (Syngap1+/− c.3583-9G>A: 134.9 ± 5.90% LTP, n = 14; Syngap1+/−: 169.9 ± 6.89% LTP, n = 18; unpaired t test, P = 0.0008). These data demonstrate that single allelic loss-of-function Syngap1 mutations are sufficient to cause abnormal synaptic plasticity.

Syngap1 Mutant Mice Show Hyperactivity and Working Memory Impairment. To test working memory, we performed spontaneous Y maze behavioral testing in both mutant mouse models (Fig. 6). Compared to wild-type mice, heterozygous Syngap1+/− L813RfsX22 mice demonstrated decreased spontaneous alternations (Syngap1+/− 72.3 ± 2.5% alternation, Syngap1+/− L813RfsX22 54.4 ± 2.3% alternation, ***P < 0.001 two-tailed t test) (Fig. 6 B, Left), similar to heterozygous Syngap1 knock-out mice, Syngap1+/− (32). Both Syngap1+/− L813RfsX22 and Syngap1+/− mice also showed an increased number of repetitive arm visits (Syngap1+/− 6.0 ± 0.9 repetitions, Syngap1+/− L813RfsX22 15.6 ± 1.4 repetitions, ***P < 0.001 two-tailed t test) (Fig. 6 B, Middle), a measure of repetitive behavior, which is a known clinical finding in SRID (21, 25).

Fig. 5. SRID mice exhibit synaptic plasticity deficits. (A, 1) Averaged population field CA1 recordings of TBS-LTP time course obtained from brain slices of Syngap1+/+L813RfsX22 and wild-type (Syngap1+/+) littermates. All data points are normalized to the averaged baseline fEPSP slope. Inset: Example averaged fEPSP traces from Syngap1+/+ and Syngap1+/− L813RfsX22 slices recorded during baseline (black) and 40 to 60 min after TBS-LTP induction (red). (A, 2) Quantification of averaged TBS-LTP in Syngap1+/+ and Syngap1+/− littermates. Individual data points are superimposed. TBS-LTP is calculated by the ratio of the mean fEPSP slope measured 40 to 60 min after TBS-LTP induction (gray shaded region) divided by the averaged fEPSP baseline slope within each recorded sample. (Syngap1+/+; n = 10, 156.8 ± 7.88% SEM; Syngap1+/− L813RfsX22: n = 12, 131.1 ± 5.80% SEM) Statistics: D’Agostino and Pearson test: normal distribution, Mann-Whitney rank-sum test, P = 0.0169. Error bars and shading represent the SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001. Two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test.

These changes were observed in Syngap1+/+ L813RfsX22 mice of both sexes including alternations (male: Syngap1+/+ 73.6 ± 2.4% alternation, Syngap1+/− L813RfsX22 52.7 ± 3.2% alternation, *P < 0.05; female: Syngap1+/+ 71.5 ± 3.8% alternation, Syngap1+/− L813RfsX22 52.3 ± 5.3% alternation, *P < 0.05; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test) (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 A, Left), repetitive arm visits (male: Syngap1+/+ 5.8 ± 1.3 repetitions, Syngap1+/− L813RfsX22 15.6 ± 1.8 repetitions, P < 0.05; female: Syngap1+/+ 6.2 ± 1.3 repetitions, Syngap1+/− L813RfsX22 15.7 ± 2.5 repetitions, P < 0.05; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test) (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 A, Middle), and number of arm entries (male: Syngap1+/+ 21.7 ± 4.8 entries, Syngap1+/− L813RfsX22 33.3 ± 3.7 entries, P = 0.025; female: Syngap1+/+ 21.3 ± 3.3 entries, Syngap1+/− L813RfsX22 33.1 ± 4.3 entries, P = 0.20; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test) (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 A, Right).

Next, we conducted the spontaneous alternation Y maze testing in Syngap1+/− L813RfsX22 and Syngap1+/− c.3583-9G>A mice (Fig. 6C). Similar to Syngap1+/− mice and Syngap1+/− L813RfsX22 mice, Syngap1+/− c.3583-9G>A mice showed a decreased number of spontaneous alternations (Syngap1+/− 69.9 ± 2.9% alternation, Syngap1+/− c.3583-9G>A 52.7 ± 3.2% alternation, ***P < 0.001 two-tailed t test; Fig. 6 C, Left), more repetitive arm entries (Syngap1+/− 8.0 ± 0.8 repetitions, Syngap1+/− c.3583-9G>A 18.6 ± 1.3 repetitions, ***P < 0.001 two-tailed t test; Fig. 6 C, Middle), and an increased number of total arm entries in 5 min (Syngap1+/− 24.8 ± 1.5 entries, Syngap1+/− c.3583-9G>A 37.6 ± 2.4 entries, ***P < 0.001 two-tailed t test; Fig. 6 C, Middle).

Again these findings were observed in both sexes including decreased alternations (male: Syngap1+/+ 74.5 ± 6.2% alternation, Syngap1+/− L813RfsX22 47.6 ± 3.1% alternation, *P < 0.05; female: Syngap1+/+ 65.5 ± 5.4% alternation, Syngap1+/− L813RfsX22 47.9 ± 5.3% alternation, *P = 0.07; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test; SI Appendix, Fig. S4 B, Left), increased repetitive arm visits.
**Arm entries**

No alternation/
Alternation

---

Discussion

This is an investigation of knock-in mouse models with pathogenic Syngap1 variants found in people with SRID. Using CRISPR-Cas9 technology, we generated one SRID mouse model harboring a frameshift mutation, L813RfsX22, and a second model with an intronic mutation that creates a cryptic splice site, c.3583-9G>A. While Syngap1 transcript levels are decreased by ~35 to 40% in these models, importantly both models show reduction of Syngap1 protein by half, deficits in synaptic plasticity, and abnormal behavior including hyperactivity, repetitive behavior, and impaired working memory. The causality and severity of disease-associated human mutations can be modeled in cell-based experimental platforms including human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) and terminally differentiated cells such as induced neurons (42). However, the impact of a mutation on the developed brain (especially with splicing-related mutations) is difficult to predict without generating an animal model, and our results establish both mutations as equally sufficient to cause SRID phenotypes.

**Model Mice Recapitulate Key Endophenotypes of SRID.** The clinical features of SRID include global developmental delay, seizures, skeletal abnormalities, hypotonia, strabismus, constipation, failure to thrive, hyperactivity, and autistic behaviors including repetitive behavior and social deficits. The prevalence of SRID in males and females is approximately equal, and there is no known sexual predominance (26, 43). Like humans with SRID, both Syngap1<sup>+/−</sup> mice display working memory impairment, hyperactivity, and repetitive behavior. Importantly, these findings were observed irrespective of sex (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 A and B), which is consistent with human data on cognitive function in males and females with SRID (25). Previously, several groups have found that many behavioral phenotypes are shared between male and female Syngap1<sup>+/−</sup> mice (44–47). In contrast, one group reported decreased latency to fall in the rotarod test only in females (48), and another study showed a correlation in PSDs between decreased steady-state Syngap1 protein and higher amounts of TARPs only in females and not in males (49). Further studies are necessary to clarify the effect of sex on the phenotypes of the Syngap1<sup>+/−</sup> as well as the novel SRID mouse lines used in the present study. Our findings provide two mouse models that recapitulate behaviors found in SRID in both sexes and will be valuable resources to

---

**Fig. 6.** Recapitulation of working memory deficits, repetitive behavior, and hyperactivity in SRID model mice. (A) Diagram of the experimental Y-maze setup. An arm entry was recorded as an alternation when the mouse fully entered an arm that it had not visited most recently (e.g., arm A to arm B to arm C is an alternation; arm A to arm B to arm A is not an alternation). (B) Spontaneous alternation rate of arm visits (% alternation), the number of repetitive arm visits (# repetitions), and the number of arm visits (# arm entries) for wild type (Syngap1<sup>+/+</sup>) or Syngap1<sup>+/−</sup>L813RfsX22 are shown. A two-tailed t test was performed (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001). (C) % alternation, # repetitions, and # arm entries for wild type (Syngap1<sup>+/+</sup>) or Syngap1<sup>+/−</sup>L813RfsX22 are shown. A two-tailed t test was performed (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001).
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(especially with splicing-related mutations) is difficult to predict without generating an animal model, and our results establish both mutations as equally sufficient to cause SRID phenotypes.
further study features of SRID including epilepsy and abnormal social interaction.

**Findings in SRID Mice Support a Loss-of-Function Mechanism for Disease Pathogenesis.** Supporting a loss-of-function mechanism of disease, both SRID mouse models show a reduction in SynGAP1 mRNA that varies from 30 to 50% depending on the specific mutation. With northern blots, qRT-PCR, and RNA-seq, we confirmed that the impact of the cryptic splice acceptor in SynGAP1 mice is dominant to the wild-type acceptor and is confined to the specific splicing site. This is important due to the complex nature of splicing regulation and is relevant for therapeutic development. We also found that transcripts lacking exons 6 and 7 in SynGAP1 mice can persist in a presumed translationally inactive state, as do some N-terminal isoforms including the D isoform. The mechanism of such escape from NMD is not clear.

Both SRID mouse models also show reduced SynGAP1 protein and behaviors that resemble those in SRID. While homozygous knockout (SynGAP1−/−) mice die within a week of birth, previous studies have shown that heterozygous knockout-out mice (SynGAP1+/−) are viable and show increased RAS/MAPK signaling and LTP impairment at Shaffer collateral-CA1 synapses in the hippocampal slices, as well as seizures and behavior abnormalities including hyperactivity, social deficits, and poor working memory (44, 45, 47, 48, 50, 51). As behavioral deficits in SynGAP1−/− mice closely resemble those found in SRID, it has been hypothesized that a loss-of-function mechanism underlies SRID pathogenesis (32). Here, we show that two different knock-in mouse models with known SRID mutations indeed both show half the normal amount of SynGAP1 protein and phonotypically recapitulate multiple clinical features of SRID, implicating NMD and SYNAP1 haploinsufficiency as the core of pathogenesis.

**RNA-seq of SynGAP1 Mice Enables Transcriptome-Wide Discovery of Downstream Changes and Potential Biomarkers.** Our RNA-seq data revealed highly significant changes in several genes associated with synaptic plasticity, intrinsic excitability, transcription factors, and NDD including Stim2, Elk1, Aes (Tie5), Mtm1, Sec3, Wwwox, and Camk4, suggesting widespread transcriptional changes that may contribute to or counteract the phenotypic features of SRID. These results will aid the characterization of SRID pathophysiology and provide candidate biomarkers for diagnosis and treatment.

**New SynGAP1 Mouse Models for SRID Treatment Development.** The present study shows that mice with distinct SRID mutations recapitulate phenotypic features of SRID and provide a framework for different areas of therapeutic intervention. While case reports suggest potential efficacy of various medications including statins (52), more research is needed, and currently, there is no standard-of-care disease-modifying treatment for SRID (25). In preclinical literature, interventions including lovastatin treatment in hippocampal slices (53) and acute perampanel treatment (53) have been explored. However, studies showing definitive phenotypic rescue in SynGAP1−/− mice with pharmacologic treatment are lacking. For example, treatment of SynGAP1−/− mice with the MEK inhibitor PD-0325901 did not improve LTP impairment (54). Our findings establish two novel mouse lines as excellent models to further interrogate SRID pathophysiology and test potential treatments. These mouse models will complement existing SynGAP1 mutant mice and other model animals by providing a diversity of causal mutations to accelerate safe and generally applicable therapeutic development.

**Materials and Methods**

**Reagents.** All restriction enzymes were obtained from New England Biolabs. Chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise specified. TTX, bicuculline, and strychnine were obtained from TOCRIS Bioscience. SynGAP antibodies used included SynGAP (Sigma Aldrich SB2501893), SynGAP-α1 (Santa Cruz sc-8572), pan-SynGAP (Thermo scientific PA-1-046), as well as isoform-specific SynGAP antibodies previously developed in the Richard Huganir laboratory: SynGAP-α1 JH2469, SynGAP-α2 JH7265, SynGAP-β JH7206, and SynGAP-γ JH7366 (17). Other antibodies used included Phosphorylated ERK (Cell Signaling Technology 9106) and total ERK (Cell Signaling Technology 9102) and Tubulin (Sigma Aldrich T5168). DNA sequencing was performed at the Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine Sequencing Facility.

**Animals.** SynGAP1+/c.3583_9G>A mutants, SynGAP1+/L813RfsX22 mutants, and wild-type littermates were maintained on a mixed background of C57/B6J and 129/SvEv background strains. All animals were housed in the Johns Hopkins University animal facility. Animals were allowed ad libitum access to food and water and were reared on a typical 12-h light-dark cycle. All animal experiments utilized both male and female mice at specified ages and were conducted in accordance with the guidelines implemented by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Johns Hopkins University.

**Crispr/Cas9-Based Mouse Gene Engineering.** All mouse gene engineering steps were performed by the Johns Hopkins Transgenic Core. One-cell stage fertilized C57BL/6 mouse embryos were injected by Cas9 protein, crRNA, tracrRNA, and homology directed repair DNA template. Guide RNA sequences are 5′-GGAGCTCGTCTCCGTATGC-3′ for L813RfsX22 and 5′-GATCGGGTCGATTCGGCG-3′ for c.3583_9G>A. Homology directed repair donor templates are 5′-ACCAACCGGGTTGTTGTAAGACCTTCTTCTTAGTGCAGACCTCCGTACACATGACATCATACGACCGAGGCCGAACTACATACGACGCGAGGCGAACATCGGACGCGGCGAC-3′ (plus strand) for L813RfsX22 and 5′-CGAGATTCCTCCCTCCCTTCCCTTCCCTTCCCTTCCCTTCCCT-3′ (minus strand) for c.3583_9G>A. Donor templates and crRNAs were synthesized by IDT. Offspring were screened by PCR with primers flanking the introduced mutations followed by diagnostic restriction digests. For L813RfsX22 forward (5′-TGTCCTTGAAGCCATGTCATGAGC-3′) and reverse (5′-AACACCGCGTTCAGGAGGCG-3′) primers amplify a 256 bp PCR product. After digestion with XbaI, mutant products will be cut to generate 154 and 102 bp fragments. For c.3583_9G>A, forward (5′-ACCAACCGGAACTACATACG-3′) and reverse (5′-GCAACCGGCGCGAAGGCTGACCGTGGG-GACGGACGCGGAC-3′) primers amplify a 270-bp product. After digestion with PvuI, mutant products will be cut to generate 180 and 90 bp fragments. Sanger sequencing was performed on all mutant mice to confirm that HDR donor templates were accurately introduced into the genome.

**Southern Blotting.** The overall structure of the genome before and after recombination was confirmed by Southern blotting using standard techniques as previously described (10). For the L813RfsX22 mutation, a 400-bp DNA fragment upstream of exon 15 was used as a probe. For c.3583_9G>A, a 445-bp DNA fragment upstream of exon 17 was used as a probe. Probes were labeled with 35P using the Prime-It II Random Primer Labeling Kit (Agilent Cat. #300385).

**RNA Extraction and Northern Blotting.** Total brain RNA from 1–3-month-old mice was isolated by TRIzol (Invitrogen Cat. #15596026). Samples were homogenized in TRIzol. Chloroform was added to homogenates, and the samples were shaken vigorously for 15 s. Samples were incubated at room temperature for 3 min and centrifuged at 13,000 × g for 15 min at 4 °C. The aqueous phase was carefully removed and applied to a genomic DNA elimination column (approximately 350 µl) (Qiagen RNeasy Plus Kit, catalog no. 74136). The column was centrifuged for 30 s at 13,000 × g. After extraction, RNA concentration was measured using a Nanodrop (Thermo Scientific) and stored at −80 °C. Ten micrograms of total RNA was subjected to electrophoresis in a 0.9% denaturing agarose gel submerged in MOPS buffer (20 mM MOPS, 5 mM sodium acetate, and 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.0). RNA was transferred to Hybond-N+ membranes (GE Healthcare, Cat #RN3038) by the capillary transfer method using blotting paper. cDNA probes were labeled with 35P using the Prime-It II Random Primer Labeling Kit (Agilent Cat. #300385). The SynGAP1 probe corresponds to NM_001281491 nucleotides 1,361 to 2,002. The Rps26 probe corresponds to NM_013765.2 nucleotides 44 to 381. Membranes were hybridized overnight at 65 °C with probes in SDS-Pipes buffer (50 mM PIPES).
Quantitative Reverse Transcription PCR (qRT-PCR). Five hundred nanograms of RNA from each sample was reverse-transcribed with Superscript IV (Invitrogen). qPCR amplifications were carried out in 96-well plates using a CFX Connect (Bio-Rad). The following TaqMan assay was used for Syngap1: forward primer 5’-CCGACACAGACCTTC; reverse primer 5’-CCGAGTGAGCCCTGG, probe 5’-CCGAAGTGCTGACCATGACCGG. mRNA expression levels were normalized to the housekeeping gene Actb, using the Mn PT.58.29001744 q (IDT) assay in a multiplexed fashion. Results were calculated with the 2^-ΔΔCt method.

RNA-seq Library Preparation and Analysis. RNA samples were enriched for mRNA through bead-based polyA selection, and libraries were generated with the NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina). CDNA libraries were barcoded and sequenced together on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 sequencer, generating 2 × 150-bp paired-end (PE) reads. The sequencing library was validated on the Agilent TapeStation (Agilent Technologies) and quantified by using the Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen) as well as by quantitative PCR (KAPA Biosystems).

The RNA-Seq pipeline from the bbio-nextgen project (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3564938) and the bbioRNASeq R package (55) were used to process and analyze all samples. The alignment of reads to the Genome Reference Consortium Mouse Reference build number 38 (GRCm38) of the used to process and analyze all samples. The alignment of reads to the Genome Reference Consortium Mouse Reference build number 38 (GRCm38) of the mouse genome (mm10), which was supplemented with transcript information from Ensembl, was performed using STAR (56). FeatureCounts (57) was used to generate counts of reads aligning to known genes, which were then used in quality control measures. Gene counts were computed with the fast inference of full-terminal isoforms, we fol-

Functional gene sets with a false discovery rate–adjusted p value less than 0.05 were considered enriched. spliceSites (https://github.com/wokai/spliceSites) was used to cluster samples in an unsupervised manner. This was done using rlog (60). Both principal component analysis and hierarchical clustering methods were used to identify possible outliers and technical artifacts. Samples were excluded from the analysis.

Differential expression at the gene level was determined using DESeq2 (61) with a false discovery rate of 0.1 and absolute log2 fold change value threshold of 0.1, correcting for RNA ratio and sex. Genes with a base mean value of less than 100 were discarded. Gene set enrichment analyses (GSEA)s were performed on lists of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) for GO BP term enrichment without cutoffs using clusterProfiler (41) and fold change calculations from DESeq2. Functional gene sets with a false discovery rate-adjusted p value less than 0.05 were considered enriched. spliceSiteDB (https://github.com/wokai/spliceSiteDB) was used to quantify splice donor and acceptor sites. For N-terminal isoforms, we follow the nomenclature previously proposed (18). We follow the exon numbering of full-length mouse Syngap1 A2-gamma (transcript XM_006524243.2), with the last exon of α1a2x designated as exon 20.

Western Blotting. Brain tissue was excised from C57BL6 mice at specified ages (~3 to 4 mo old). Tissue was lysed in 100 volumes of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.2% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, with Complete Protease inhibitor EDTA-free mix (Roche/ Sigma) by Dounce A homogenizer). Protein concentrations were measured by the Pierce BCA assay kit (Pierce 23225). Equal protein amounts (10 µg) were loaded into each lane. After probing by primary and secondary antibodies, signals were measured by a fluorescence-based imaging system for our quantitative western blotting (Odyssey® Cx Imaging System). Fluorescence detection is suitable for quantitative immunoblotting across large dynamic ranges (62-65). Fifty percent of the first experimental lane was run in the left-most lane in order to assure the given quantification is linear in every primary-secondary antibody combination.

Electrophysiology. Acute slice preparation. Syngap1 +/+; Syngap1 −/+; Syngap1 −/− mice (4 to 7 mo of age), along with their respective wild-type littermates, were transcardially perfused with ice-cold oxygenated (95% O2/5% CO2) dissection buffer (212.7 mM sucrose, 5 mM KCl, 1.25 mM Na2PO4, 10 mM glucose, 26 mM NaHCO3, 0.5 mM CaCl2, and 10 mM MgCl2) under isoflurane anesthesia immediately prior to decapitation. The brain was rapidly removed from the skull, and the anterior surface of the brain was cut at ~15° with respect to the anatomical coronal plane with the cut penetrating deeper along the ventral-to-dorsal axis in continuously oxygenated dissection buffer. Acute transverse hippocampal slices (400 µm thickness) were then prepared using a vibratome (Leica VT1200S) and were briefly washed of the sucrose-based dissection buffer in oxygenated artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) composed of (in mM): 119 NaCl, 5 KCl, 1.25 Na2PO4, 26 NaHCO3, 10 glucose, 2.5 CaCl2, and 1.5 MgCl2. Slices were recovered in a chamber containing ACSF at 30 °C for 30 min and then transferred to room temperature for an additional 60 min or until used for electrophysiological recordings. The experimenter was blinded to genotype until all experiments, and analyses were completed.

Extracellular LTP recordings. Slices were placed in a submersion recording chamber with recirculating oxygenated (95% O2/5% CO2) ACSF at 30 °C. Synaptic field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) were evoked in response to electrical stimulation of the Schaeffer collateral inputs via a bipolar theta glass Ag/AgCl electrode (3 MΩ) containing ACSF. The baseline stimulation intensity was determined prior to recording by measuring the stimulation that is sufficient to evoke a half-maximal EPSP amplitude, which is half of the threshold for eliciting a population spike. Upon starting an LTP recording, the baseline stimulation intensity was used to measure the EPSP slope over a stable 20-min baseline period in response to a single 0.2-ms stimulation pulse delivered every 30 s. Absolute inclusion criteria for sample LTP recordings required a minimum stable baseline period of 10 min whereby the baseline EPSP slope did not shift by >10%. To induce LTP, 4 episodes of TBS were triggered at 0.1 Hz. Each TBS episode consisted of 10 stimulus trains administered at 5 Hz, whereby one train consists of 4 pulses at 100 Hz. Following TBS, EPSP slope was measured for an additional 60 min by delivering single electrical pulses every 30 s. The magnitude of LTP was quantified by normalizing the EPSP slope to the average baseline response and then calculating the average EPSP slope between 40 and 60 min after TBS. Recordings were first performed in Syngap1 +/-; Syngap1 +/+ mice and wild-type littermates in an alternating fashion across experimental days until data acquisition was complete to control for day-to-day experimental variability. Subsequently, the same alternating recording pattern was implemented during LTP recording data acquisition from Syngap1 +/-; Syngap1 +/+ mice and wild-type littermates. Statistical comparisons were made exclusively between either Syngap1 +/-; Syngap1 +/+ mice or Syngap1 +/-; Syngap1 +/+ mice, with a Student’s t test or Mann–Whitney U test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001.

Behavior: Spontaneous alternation in Y-maze. Mice aged 4 to 6 mo were subjected to the Y-maze spontaneous alternation task in order to assess working memory performance. All groups were approximately evenly divided (45 to 55%) between males and females.

Y-maze spontaneous alternation. Following a 30-min acclimatization period, mice were placed in the center of a three-chamber Y-maze in which the three arms were oriented 120° from one another. Mice were allowed to explore the apparatus for 5 min. Arm entries were recorded when both rear paws passed over the boundary line between the center region and arm region of the appara-

The arm entry was recorded as an alternation when the mouse fully entered an arm that it had not visited most recently (e.g., arm A to arm B to arm C is an alternation; arm A to arm B to arm A is not an alternation). Percent alternation was calculated as the number of alternating arm entries divided by the total number of arm entries. The Y-maze apparatus was thoroughly cleaned between trials. Arm entries were recorded manually. The experimenter was blinded to genotype until all experiments and analyses were completed.

Statistics. All data are expressed as means ± SEM of values. Data distributions were tested for normality using specified methods. Parametric tests were used if the data were normally distributed, and nonparametric tests were otherwise used, as detailed in the text. For parametric tests, unpaired/paired t tests, one-way/two-way ANOVA tests were used where appropriate. If a significant interaction between two factors was observed by two-way ANOVA, multiple comparison–corrected Tukey post hoc tests were performed to compare the measures as a function of one factor in each fixed levels of another factor unless otherwise specified. Statistical analyses and preparations of graphs were performed using Excel 16 or GraphPad Prism 9.0 software (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; and ***P < 0.001).
Materials and Software Availability. Model mice lines that were generated in this study were deposited in the MMARRC at the Jackson Laboratory (600 Main Street, Bar Harbor, ME, 04605). The strain names and stock numbers are as follows: Syngap1^−/−;Rlh/Mmjax (MMRRC #71392, RRID: MMRRC_71392), Syngap1^−/−;Rlh/Mmjax (MMRRC #71392, RRID: MMRRC_71392-JAX), and Syngap1^+/−;c.3583-G>G;A (B6;Syngap1^−/−;Mmjax (MMRRC #71392, RRID: MMRRC_71392-JAX). All study data are included in the article and/or SI Appendix.
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