

Yoichi Araki^{a,1}, Elizabeth E. Gerber^{a,1}, Kacey E. Rajkovich^{a,1}, Ingie Hong^{a,1}, Richard C. Johnson^a, Hey-Kyoung Lee^a, Alfredo Kirkwood^a, and Richard L. Huganir^{a,2}

Contributed by Richard L. Huganir; received May 27, 2023; accepted July 28, 2023; reviewed by Jimmy Holder and Peter Penzes

SYNGAP1 is a Ras-GTPase-activating protein highly enriched at excitatory synapses in the brain. De novo loss-of-function mutations in SYNGAP1 are a major cause of genetically defined neurodevelopmental disorders (NDDs). These mutations are highly penetrant and cause SYNGAP1-related intellectual disability (SRID), an NDD characterized by cognitive impairment, social deficits, early-onset seizures, and sleep disturbances. Studies in rodent neurons have shown that Syngap1 regulates developing excitatory synapse structure and function, and heterozygous Syngap1 knockout mice have deficits in synaptic plasticity, learning, and memory and have seizures. However, how specific SYNGAP1 mutations found in humans lead to disease has not been investigated in vivo. To explore this, we utilized the CRISPR-Cas9 system to generate knock-in mouse models with two distinct known causal variants of SRID: one with a frameshift mutation leading to a premature stop codon, SYNGAP1; L813RfsX22, and a second with a single-nucleotide mutation in an intron that creates a cryptic splice acceptor site leading to premature stop codon, SYNGAP1; c.3583-9G>A. While reduction in Syngap1 mRNA varies from 30 to 50% depending on the specific mutation, both models show ~50% reduction in Syngap1 protein, have deficits in synaptic plasticity, and recapitulate key features of SRID including hyperactivity and impaired working memory. These data suggest that half the amount of SYNGAP1 protein is key to the pathogenesis of SRID. These results provide a resource to study SRID and establish a framework for the development of therapeutic strategies for this disorder.

neurodevelopmental disorders | synaptic plasticity | synaptic GTPase-activating protein | dendritic development

Recent advances in next-generation sequencing technology have led to the discovery of numerous causative genetic mutations in neurodevelopmental disorders (NDDs) (1-4). Many of these mutations are found in genes that encode proteins at glutamatergic synapses, or those that regulate them, including postsynaptic density (PSD) components such as SH3 and multiple ankyrin repeat domains 3 (SHANK3), Postsynaptic density protein-95 (PSD-95), α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptors, Neuroligins, presynaptic components and channels including Syntaxin-binding protein 1 (STXBP1), Sodium channel protein type 1 subunit alpha 1 (SCN1A) and 2 (SCN2A), Neurexins, and transcription factors such as AT-rich interactive domain-containing protein 1B (ARID1B), Chromodomain-helicase-DNA-binding protein 2 (CHD2), and 8 (CHD8) (2). These proteins serve essential regulatory and/or structural functions required for synaptic transmission and plasticity at glutamatergic synapses (5), strongly implicating these processes in disease pathogenesis of many NDDs. Among these, SYNGAP1 is one of the most frequently mutated genes in NDDs (2-4). In a large exome sequencing study in the United Kingdom, SYNGAP1 was the fourth-most prevalent mutated gene in NDD and found in 0.7% of the NDD population (4).

SYNGAP1 encodes SYNGAP1, a Ras-GTPase-activating protein (GAP) that is one of the most abundant components of the postsynaptic region in glutamatergic neurons. SYNGAP1 facilitates GTP hydrolysis to GDP through its GAP domain and thereby negatively regulates Ras activity (6, 7). SYNGAP1 is required for a major form of synaptic plasticity, long-term potentiation (LTP), a process thought to be critical to learning and memory (8–11). During LTP, SYNGAP1 is rapidly phosphorylated by CaMKII and is dispersed from the synapses by NMDAR activity (12, 13). This rapid dispersion triggers synaptic Ras activity, AMPAR insertion, and synaptic spine enlargements (12). SYNGAP1 has also been proposed to play a structural role in the postsynaptic density as it undergoes liquid–liquid phase separation (LLPS) through its interaction with PSD-95 (14).

Multiple start sites and alternative splice sites in *Syngap1* allow for several protein isoforms (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2A) that differ in structure, function, and temporospatial expression (15–20). N-terminal isoforms include A1/2/3/4, B, C, D, and E (18–20). In the mouse cortex, mRNA levels of A and B present early in development at postnatal day 4 are similar to those in adult mice, while mRNA levels of C are much lower. Interestingly,

Significance

SYNGAP1 is a protein enriched at excitatory synapses in the brain that is an important regulator of synapse structure and function. SYNGAP1 mutations cause SYNGAP1-related intellectual disability (SRID), a neurodevelopmental disorder with cognitive impairment, social deficits, seizures, and sleep disturbances. To explore how SYNGAP1 mutations found in humans lead to disease, we generated knock-in mouse models with causal SRID variants: one with a frameshift mutation and a second with an intronic mutation that creates a cryptic splice acceptor site. Both models show decreased Syngap1 mRNA and Syngap1 protein and recapitulate key features of SRID including hyperactivity and impaired working memory. These results provide a resource to study SRID and establish a framework for the development of therapeutic strategies.

Author contributions: Y.A., E.E.G., K.E.R., I.H., H.-K.L., A.K., and R.L.H. designed research; Y.A., E.E.G., K.E.R., I.H., and R.C.J. performed research; Y.A., I.H., and R.C.J. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; Y.A., E.E.G., K.E.R., I.H., R.C.J., H.-K.L., A.K., and R.L.H. analyzed data; R.L.H. provided financial support; and Y.A., E.E.G., K.E.R., I.H., and R.L.H. wrote the paper.

Reviewers: J.H., Baylor College of Medicine; and P.P., Northwestern University.

The authors declare no competing interest.

Copyright © 2023 the Author(s). Published by PNAS. This open access article is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND).

 $^1\mbox{Y.A.},$ E.E.G., K.E.R., and I.H. contributed equally to this work.

²To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: rhuganir@jhmi.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas. 2308891120/-/DCSupplemental.

Published September 5, 2023.

A and B reach two to three times adult levels in mice at postnatal day 14 before diminishing again (20). There are four C-terminal isoforms that have been identified: $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$, β , and γ . The β isoform is the dominant isoform early in postnatal development, whereas $\alpha 2$ is the most abundant isoform at later stages. $\alpha 1$ is expressed at low levels during early postnatal development and increases to become the second most abundant isoform by postnatal day 42. Subcellularly, $\alpha 1$ is highly enriched at excitatory synapses, while the β isoform is more often in localized to the cytosol (17).

Mutations in SYNGAP1 cause SYNGAP1-related intellectual disability (SRID). SRID is characterized by neurodevelopmental delay and mild-to-severe intellectual disability (21-25). About 80 to 85% of individuals with SRID have comorbid epilepsy, a subset of which have myoclonic astatic epilepsy (Doose syndrome) or epilepsy with myoclonic absences (26). Some people with SRID also have strabismus and hypotonia with significant motor deficits (25). The occurrence of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is estimated to be as high as 50% in SRID and includes stereotypic behaviors, obsessions with certain objects, and social deficits. Poor attention, impulsivity, lack of self-preservation instinct, self-directed and other-directed aggressive behavior, elevated pain threshold, hyperacusis, and sleep disorders have also been observed (27-30). Currently, treatment for SRID is limited to physical and behavioral therapy and specialty consultations for various symptoms. No standardized guidelines are available regarding the choice of specific antiseizure medications. While some individuals with SRID are medication-responsive, at least half are treatment-resistant (26).

Heterozygous *Syngap1* knockout mice recapitulate several phenotypes of SRID including deficits in learning, memory, social behavior, as well as hyperactivity, repetitive behavior, and seizures (11, 31–33). However, to date, there have been no in vivo studies of SRID pathogenesis with mouse models harboring known causal variants found in humans. To investigate the pathophysiology of SRID with the aim to open different avenues of therapeutic investigation, we generated two knock-in mouse models with known causal variants of SRID including a frameshift mutation which leads to a premature stop codon, *SYNGAP1; L813RfsX22* (21) and a single-nucleotide mutation in an intron that creates a cryptic splice acceptor site and a premature stop codon, *SYNGAP1; c.3583-9G>A* (34).

Results

Generation of Knock-In Syngap1 Mutant Mice. To investigate the consequences of SRID SYNGAP1 mutations in vivo, we used the CRISPR-Cas9 system to generate two knock-in mouse models. For one model, we selected a de novo frameshift mutation that leads to a premature stop codon (SYNGAP1-L813RfsX22). Importantly, the individual carrying this mutation has been well characterized clinically and has classic features of SRID including developmental delay, intellectual disability, and epilepsy (21) (Fig. 1 A, Left). For our second knock-in mouse line, we selected a de novo SYNGAP1 mutation also associated with SRID that is within an intron and creates a cryptic splice site and a premature stop codon (c.3583-9 G>A) (34) (Fig. 1 A, Right). To introduce mutations, Guide RNA, Cas9, and homology-directed repair DNA (HDR-DNA) oligos were injected into fertilized eggs (Fig. 1B). Additional restriction enzyme sites were introduced for screening purposes. Newborn mice were screened by PCR and restriction enzyme digest. The introduction of mutations was confirmed by Southern blot (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 A and B), Sanger sequencing of genomic DNA (Fig. 1C), and Sanger sequencing of cDNA from heterozygous mice (Fig. 1D). We confirmed that the cryptic splicing mutation (c.3583-9G>A) caused aberrant splicing resulting in a 7-base pair(bp) addition within mRNA transcripts (Fig. 1 *D*, *Right*, red box). In both L813RfsX22 and c.3583-9G>A lines, the chromatogram peaks of the mutant allele were consistently lower, indicating that Syngap1 mutant mRNA was unstable or inefficiently transcribed.

Syngap1^{+/L813RfsX22} Mice Show 30 to 40% Less Syngap1 mRNA and 50% Less SYNGAP1 Protein. Northern blotting of whole-brain mRNA extracts from Syngap1^{+/L813Rfsx22} mice revealed a 30 to 40% reduction of mRNA 62.3 ± 4.1% (mean ± SEM) in comparison to wild-type controls, suggesting that mutant Syngap1 mRNA is subject to nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) (35) as expected due to the premature stop codon (Fig. 2*A*). This result was confirmed by quantitative PCR (qPCR) (69.9 ± 8.0% compared to wild type) (Fig. 2*B*). Western blotting showed that Syngap1 protein was significantly diminished in the whole brain from Syngap1^{+/L813RfsX22} mice (50.7 ± 3.5% compared to wild type, ****P* < 0.0001), similar to that in heterozygous Syngap1 knock-out mice, Syngap1^{+/-} (52.0 ± 9.7% compared to wild type, ****P* = 0.0004) (Fig. 3*A*).

Syngap1^{+/c.3583-9G>A} Mice Show 40 to 50% Less Syngap1 mRNA and Syngap1 Protein. Total RNA was extracted from whole brains of Syngap1^{+/c.3583_9G>A} mice and analyzed by northern blot and qPCR. Strikingly, brains from Syngap1^{+/c.3583_9G>A} mice exhibited 40 to 50% less Syngap1 mRNA by northern blot (53.2 ± 3.3%, P < 0.0001, Fig. 2A) and qPCR (62.5 ± 2.9% compared to wild type, P = 0.004, Fig. 2B), indicating that the c.3583-9G>A mutation likely results in Syngap1 mRNA undergoing NMD. Western blotting of protein extracted from the whole brain of Syngap1^{+/c.3583_9G>A} revealed approximately 50% less Syngap1 protein expression (53.2 ± 2.0, P = 0.0325, two-tailed t test), similar to heterozygous Syngap1 knock-out mice (Syngap1^{+/-}). Protein expression of Syngap1 α 1 and α 2 C-terminal isoforms was reduced by approximately 50% (α 1 49.5 ± 7.2%, P = 0.016; α 2 51.5 ± 7.0%, P = 0.024). There were trends toward decreased β and γ C-terminal isoform expression, but these were not statistically significant (β : 64.5 ± 1.7%, P = 0.234; γ : 60.3 ± 1.3%, P = 0.114) (Fig. 3A).

Elevated Ras-ERK Signaling in Syngap1 Knock-Out and SRID Model Mice. Syngap1 is a Ras-GTPase-activating protein that negatively regulates Ras-ERK signaling in neurons (Fig. 3*B*). To investigate ERK signaling in *Syngap1* mutant mice, protein was extracted from the whole brain of heterozygous knock-out (*Syngap1^{+/-}*) and SRID model mice, and western blotting was performed to quantify phosphorylated (active) ERK and total ERK. There was a large increase of phosphorylated ERK over total ERK that was comparable across all mutant mouse models (*Syngap1^{+/-}*: 150.3 ± 13.0%, *P* = 0.0425; *Syngap1^{+/L813Rf5X22}*: 144.0 ± 10.6%, *P* = 0.027; *Syngap1^{+/c.3583_9G>A*: 143.0 ± 10.8%, *P* = 0.018; two-tailed *t* test).}

RNA-seq Revealed Aberrant Splicing and Converging Downstream Transcriptional Changes in *Syngap1^{+/c.3583-9G>A} and Syngap1^{+/-}* **Mice.** To examine the impact of the cryptic splice site mutation in further detail and identify transcriptional changes due to *Syngap1* loss of function, we performed RNA-seq on whole-brain samples of *Syngap1^{+/c.3583_9G>A* and *Syngap1^{+/-}* mice. Splice junction reads confirmed that the predicted cryptic splice acceptor indeed led to aberrant splicing at the exon 16-17 junction of *Syngap1*, which was not observed in any of the other mouse models (Fig. 4A). The large decrease (~45%) in exon 16-17 junction coverage and the relative scarcity of the cryptic splicing junction suggest that the transcripts undergo NMD due to the premature stop codon. The wild-type exon 17 displays a 6 bp 5' exon extension encoding the two amino acids}



Fig. 1. Generation of SRID model mice. (*A*) Schematic of SRID mutations. *SYNGAP1; L813RfsX22*, a frameshift mutation leading to a premature stop codon. *SYNGAP1; c.3583-9G>A*, a single nucleotide mutation in an intron that creates a cryptic splice acceptor site, the addition of 17 bp at the end of exon 17, and a premature stop codon. Pedigrees of affected individuals are shown to the right of each mutation. (*B*) CRISPR/Cas9 gene engineering for SRID model mice. Guide RNA, Cas9 protein, and homology-directed repair DNA were injected into fertilized egg. (*C*) Genomic DNA isolated from heterozygous SRID mice was sequenced by Sanger sequencing. The electropherogram near the disease mutation site is shown. SRID mutations, restriction enzyme sites for screening, and protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) site deletions are shown. Underline denotes the CRISPR technical mutations. (*D*) cDNA isolated from heterozygous SRID mice was sequenced by Sanger sequenced by Sanger sequenced by Sanger sequenced. The electropherogram near the disease mutation, dotted: obsolete by disease mutation. (*D*) cDNA isolated from heterozygous SRID mice was sequenced by Sanger sequenced by Sanger sequencing. The electropherogram near the disease mutation site is shown. In *Syngap1^{+/L813R/SX22}* mice, sequencing confirmed SRID mutation L813RfsX22, Xbal site creation for screening, and PAM site deletions. Sequencing of cDNA from *Syngap1^{+/L813R/SX22}* mice, sequencing confirmed the aberrant 7 bp addition at the beginning of Exon 17 (red boxes). Blue boxes indicate the 6 bp difference between splicing-1 and splicing-2. Underline denotes the CRISPR technical mutations.

valine and lysine (i.e., "VK" exon extension). The ratio of VK vs. non-VK splice junctions did not change in the *Syngap1*^{+/c.3583_9G>A} mice, likely excluding the modulation of this splice decision as a factor for SRID in the person with this mutation (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2 A and *B*). Other alternative splicing events, including exon 14 skipping (36), exon 18 5' extension (β vs. non- β), exon 18 3' extensions, and exon

19 skipping (α 1, α 2, γ), did not show significant changes in the ratio (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2 *C–E*). These results confirm that the impact of the cryptic splice acceptor in *Syngap* 1^{+/c.3583_9G>A} mice is dominant to the wild-type acceptor and confined to the specific splicing site.

Syngap $1^{+/-}$ mice were previously generated by deleting the core exons 6 and 7, which shifts the reading frame and leads to

Α mRNA (Northern blot)





Fig. 2. Expression of mRNA from SRID disease model mice was significantly reduced by 30 to 50%. (A) Northern blotting of mRNAs from SRID mice. The autoradiogram of northern blotting using the total brain of wild-type (+/+) or heterozygous mice (+/L813RfsX22 or +/c.3583-9G>A) was shown. L813RfsX22; Rps26 bands were used for loading controls. The amount of Syngap1 mRNA was normalized by Rps26 mRNA levels. C.3583-9G>A; 28S and 18S ribosomal RNA were used for loading controls. SYNGAP1 mRNA was normalized by ribosomal RNA levels. A two-tailed t test was performed (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P <0.001). (B) Quantitative PCR from SRID mice and Syngap 1^{+/-} mice. The mRNA quantification normalized to actin expression is shown. All mutant mice show 30 to 40% Syngap1 mRNA reduction. A two-tailed t test was performed (**P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, and ****P* < 0.001).

reduction of Syngap1 (37). We verified in brain RNA-seq of these mice that exons 6 and 7 are skipped in a large portion of transcripts, which are not completely degraded through NMD (Fig. 4C). Perhaps due to this incomplete NMD, total estimated Syngap 1 RNA expression is not significantly lower in these mice compared to wild-type littermates. The difference with qPCR and northern blot quantification could be due to transcripts with intron retention and immature polyA tails, which affect these measures differently. Given the halved Syngap1 protein expression and absence of truncated protein species (Figs. 2 and 3A), this suggests that the transcripts from the knockout allele may be protected from NMD to an extent but are nevertheless translationally inactive. Substantial intron retention of intron 7 in Syngap1^{+/-} mice (SI Appendix, Fig. S3) further suggests that nuclear retention of unspliced pre-mRNA may contribute to this resistance to NMD, which occurs in the cytosol (35). Alternative splicing events, including exon 14 skipping, exon 17 5' extension (VK), exon 18 5' extension (β vs. non- β), exon 18 3' extensions, and exon 19 skipping (α 1, α 2, γ), did not show significant changes in ratio (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 B-E). Intriguingly, whereas an N-terminal splice junction unique to full-length Syngap1 (A1/2/4; exon 3-4) was significantly reduced in both $Syngap1^{+lc.3583-9G>A}$

(P = 0.0210, two-way ANOVA and Šídák's post hoc test) and Syngap $1^{+/-}$ mice (P = 0.0437), other isoforms, including the second-most abundant D isoform, did not show a significant reduction (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 F and G). This suggests that these N-terminal isoforms may be subject to less efficient NMD or that they may be up-regulated in a compensatory manner. The less pronounced downregulation of these N-terminal isoforms might contribute to the <50% decrease observed in Syngap1 mRNA levels in both heterozygous mouse lines (Figs. 2 and 3A).

We next examined the transcriptome-wide changes that result from Syngap 1 loss of function in $Syngap 1^{+/c.3583}-^{9G>A}$ and $Syngap 1^{+/-}$ mice. Differential expression (DE) analysis revealed significant changes in gene expression: 54 (21 increased/33 decreased, *SI Appendix*, Table S1) and 76 (29 increased/47 decreased, *SI Appendix*, Table S2) in *Syngap1*^{+/c,3583_9G>A} and *Syngap1*^{+/-} mice, respectively, and demonstrated convergence with commonly regulated genes including decreased Stim2, Mrm1, Sec63, and increased *Elk1* expression. Elk1 is a transcription factor involved in neuronal survival and plasticity that is phosphorylated by MAPK/ERK (38). Stim2 is crucial for maintaining calcium homeostasis in neurons and plays a role in neuronal survival, synaptic plasticity, and memory (39).

В





Fig. 3. Severe reduction of Syngap1 protein expression and aberrant downstream signaling (Syngap1-Ras-ERK) in SRID mice. (A) Western blotting of total Syngap1 proteins and their c-terminal isoforms. L813RfsX22; the western blotting using N-terminal antibody was conducted to check for any truncated protein expression. Truncated protein expression was not detectable. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc test [genotypes F (3,20) = 26.06; P < 0.0001, n = 4 to 7 independent brain samples, ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.01, and *P < 0.05) was performed. c.3583-9G>A; western blotting for all Syngap1 C-terminal isoforms is shown. Two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc test [isoforms F (4,30) = 0.2621; *P* = 0.899, genotype F (1,30) = 53.07; *P* < 0.0001, interaction F (4,30) = 0.2621; P = 0.899, n = 3 to 4 individual brains, ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.01, and *P < 0.05]. (B) Western blots of phosphorylated ERK (active)/total ERK from Syngap1 mutant lines. ERK activation levels were quantified by calculating phosphorylated ERK levels normalized with total ERK levels. Two-tailed *t* tests (**P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, and ****P* < 0.001).

The two mouse lines and littermate controls were respectively pooled for higher stringency and statistical power, which led to a refined list of 44 up-regulated and 66 down-regulated genes (*SI Appendix*, Table S3). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) (40, 41) of these genes revealed strong enrichment of genes involved in the canonical Wnt signaling pathway (*Rps12, Nrarp, Aes,* and *Sox2*), phagocytosis (*Pik3ca* and *Appl2*), regulation of neuronal synaptic plasticity (*Cntn2* and *Syngap1*), Ras protein signal transduction (*Plce1, Map4k4, Abl2, Syngap1,* and *Gpsm2*), and neuron projection morphogenesis (*Map4k4, Sema4d, Abl2, Rab8a, Syngap1,* and *Cntn2*), among others (Fig. 4G). Other top genes with significant changes in expression were *Wwox* (up-regulated), a gene previously associated with NDD, *Kcnj9* (Kir3.3) (down-regulated), which may account for intrinsic excitability changes, and *Camk4* (down-regulated), a major downstream kinase of CaMKII essential for excitation-transcription coupling. These results demonstrate broad transcriptional changes in *Syngap1* mouse models and provide a foundation for further investigation of downstream pathways as well as for biomarker discovery.

Mouse Models of SRID Exhibit Synaptic Plasticity Deficits. In both mice and humans, loss of SYNGAP1 expression causes learning impairment that may result from deficits in synaptic plasticity (10, 11, 21, 32). We and others have demonstrated that



Fig. 4. RNA-seq reveals aberrant splicing and converging downstream transcriptional changes in *Syngap1*^{+/c.3583-96-A} and *Syngap1*^{+/-} mice. (A) Splice junction read abundance quantified as Reads Per Million Gapped (RPMG) between exons 16 and 17 of *Syngap1*. Reads with splice donor site at the 3' end of exon 16 spliced to the "VK" exon extension at the 5' end of exon 17 and non-VK splice acceptor 6 bp downstream in +/+ littermates, whereas only the Syngap1^{+/c.3583-96-A} mice displayed a small fraction of cryptic splice acceptor site –7 bp upstream, indicating efficient NMD. A two-way ANOVA revealed a significant effect of genotype, isoform, and interaction [genotype F(1, 5) = 50.67; *P* = 0.0008, isoform F(2, 10) = 297.7; *P* < 0.0001, interaction abundance (*P* < 0.0041, (B) Total *Syngap1* expression was significantly decreased in Syngap1^{+/c.3583-9G-A} mice (+/+: 1.000 ± 0.027; +/c.3583-9G>A: 0.626 ± 0.039; *P* = 0.0007, unpaired ttest). (C) Splice junction read abundance in Syngap1^{+/-} mice. Reads with *Syngap1* splice acceptor site at the 5' end of exon 7 in +/+ littermates, whereas only the Syngap1^{+/-} mice displayed aberrant exon 6 and 7 skipping, verifying the intended knockout of exons 6 and 7. Note the significant amount of exon 5-8 junction reads detected, which indicates inefficient NMD. A two-way ANOVA revealed a significant fleet of isoform and interaction [genotype F(1, 4) = 2.728; *P* = 0.1740, isoform F(1, 4) = 124.7; *P* < 0.0004, interaction F(1, 4) = 40.13; *P* < 0.0001, n = 3 to 4 samples/group) and Šídák's post hoc tests confirmed significant decreases in exon 7-8 (*P* = 0.03) and exon 4-8 splice junction abundance (*P* < 0.0011, n = 3 to 4 samples/group) and Šídák's post hoc tests confirmed significant decreases in exon 7-8 (*P* = 0.03) and exon 4-8 splice junction abundance (*P* < 0.0011, n = 3 to 4 samples/group) and Šídák's post hoc tests confirmed significant decreases in exon 7-8 (*P* = 0.03) and exon 4-8 splice junction abundance (*P* < 0.0011, l.D) Total *Syngap1* expres



Fig. 5. SRID mice exhibit synaptic plasticity deficits. (*A*, 1) Averaged population field CA1 recordings of TBS-LTP time course obtained from brain slices of *Syngap1*^{+/LB13R/5X22} mice and wild-type (Syngap1^{+/+}) littermates. All data points are normalized to the averaged baseline fEPSP slope. Inset: Example averaged fEPSP traces from Syngap1+/+ and Syngap1+L813R/5X22 slices recorded during baseline (black) and 40 to 60 min after TBS-LTP induction (red). (A, 2) Quantification of averaged TBS-LTP in Syngap1^{+L813R/5X22} and Syngap1^{+/+} littermates. Individual data points are superimposed. TBS-LTP is calculated by the ratio of the mean fEPSP slope measured 40 to 60 min after TBS-LTP induction (gray shaded region) divided by the averaged fEPSP baseline slope within each recorded sample. (Syngap1^{+/+}: n = 10, 156.8 ± 7.88% SEM; Syngap1^{+/L813RfsX22}: n = 12, 131.1 ± 5.80% SEM) Statistics: D'Agostino & Pearson test: non-normal distribution, Mann-Whitney rank-sum test, P = 0.0169. Error bars and shading represent the SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001. (B, 1) Averaged population field CA1 recordings of TBS-LTP time course obtained from brain slices of *Syngap1+/c.3583-9G>A* mice and *Syngap1+/+* littermates. Inset: Example averaged fEPSP traces from Syngap1+/c.3583-9G>A and *Syngap1*^{+/+} slices recorded during baseline (black) and 40 to 60 min after TBS-LTP induction (red). (*B*, 2) Quantification of averaged TBS-LTP in *Syngap1*^{+/c,3583-96>A} mice and *Syngap1*^{+/+} (Syngap1^{+/+}: n = 18, 169.9 ± 6.89% SEM; Syngap1^{+/-C3583-9G-A}: n = 14, 134.9 ± 5.90% SEM) Statistics: D'Agostino and Pearson test: normal distribution, unpaired t test, \vec{P} = 0.0008. Error bars and shading represent the SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001.

heterozygous Syngap1 knockout (Syngap1^{+/-}) mice have deficits in theta-burst stimulated (TBS)-induced long-term potentiation (TBS-LTP) of CA3 \rightarrow CA1 synapses within the hippocampus (10, 11). Since $Syngap1^{+/L813Rfsx22}$ and $Syngap1^{+/c.3583_{-}9G>A}$ mice exhibit an approximately 50% reduction in Syngap1 protein like $Syngap1^{+/-}$ mice, we next sought to determine whether similar TBS-LTP deficits exist in each of the $Syngap 1^{+/L813Rfx22}$ and $Syngap 1^{+/c.3583_9G>A}$ mouse models. Extracellular field recordings of TBS-LTP were performed in acute hippocampal slices of adult *Syngap 1^{+/L813Rfsx22}* and *Syngap 1^{+/L813Rfsx22}* and *compared* animals and compared to the magnitude of TBS-LTP measured in respective wild-type littermates (Fig. 5). Consistent with our data demonstrating that Syngap1 protein and mRNA level are reduced with single allelic mutation of either L813RfsX22 or c.3589G>A, TBS-LTP was similarly decreased by 45.2% and 50.1%, respectively (Syngap 1^{+/} ^{L813Rfx22}: 131.1 ± 5.80% LTP, n = 12; Syngap $1^{+/+}$: 156.8 ± 7.88% LTP, n = 10; Mann–Whitney U test, P = 0.0169) (Syngap $1^{+/c.3583}$ - ${}^{9G>A}$: 134.9 ± 5.90% LTP, n = 14; Syngap $1^{+/+}$: 169.9 ± 6.89% LTP, n = 18; unpaired *t* test, P = 0.0008). These data demonstrate that single allelic loss-of-function Syngap1 mutations are sufficient to cause abnormal synaptic plasticity.

Syngap1 Mutant Mice Show Hyperactivity and Working Memory

Impairment. To test working memory, we performed spontaneous Y maze behavioral testing in both mutant mouse models (Fig. 6*A*). Compared to wild-type mice, heterozygous $Syngap1^{+/L813Rfx22}$ mice demonstrated decreased spontaneous alternations ($Syngap1^{+/+}72.3 \pm 2.5\%$ alternation, $Syngap1^{+/L813Rfx22}$ 54.4 $\pm 2.3\%$ alternation, $^{***}P < 0.001$ two-tailed *t* test) (Fig. 6 *B*, *Left*), similar to heterozygous Syngap1 knock-out mice, $Syngap1^{+/-}$ (32). Both $Syngap1^{+/L813Rfx22}$ and $Syngap1^{+/-}$ mice also showed an increased number of repetitive arm visits ($Syngap1^{+/+} 6.0 \pm 0.9$ repetitions, $Syngap1^{+/L813Rfx22}$ 15.6 ± 1.4 repetitions, $^{***}P < 0.001$ two-tailed *t* test) (Fig. 6 *B*, *Middle*), a measure of repetitive behavior, which is a known clinical finding in SRID (21, 25). The number of arm

entries over 5 min was also significantly increased in $Syngap1^{+/}$ L813Rfx22 ($Syngap1^{+/+}$ 21.5 ± 2.6 entries, $Syngap1^{+/L813Rfx22}$ 33.3 ± 2.7 entries, **P < 0.01 two-tailed t test) (Fig. 6 *B*, *Middle*), similar to $Syngap1^{+/-}$ mice (32).

These changes were observed in $Syngap 1^{+/L813Rfsx22}$ mice of both sexes including alternations (male: $Syngap 1^{+/+} 73.6 \pm 2.4\%$ alternation, $Syngap 1^{+/L813Rfsx22} 52.7 \pm 3.2\%$ alternation, *P < 0.05: female; $Syngap 1^{+/+} 71.5 \pm 3.8\%$ alternation, $Syngap 1^{+/L813Rfsx22} 52.3 \pm 5.3\%$ alternation, *P < 0.05; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test) (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S4 *A*, *Left*), repetitive arm visits (male: $Syngap 1^{+/+} 5.8 \pm 1.3$ repetitions, $Syngap 1^{+/L813Rfsx22} 15.6 \pm 1.8$ repetitions, P < 0.05 *: female; $Syngap 1^{+/+} 6.2 \pm 1.3$ repetitions, $Syngap 1^{+/+} 5.8 \pm 1.5 + 2.5$ repetitions, *P < 0.05; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test) (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S4 *A*, *Left*), repetitions, $Syngap 1^{+/-} 5.8 \pm 1.3$ repetitions, $Syngap 1^{+/-} 6.2 \pm 1.3$ repetitions, $Syngap 1^{+/-} 5.8 \pm 1.5 + 2.5$ repetitions, *P < 0.05; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test) (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S4 *A*, *Middle*), and number of arm entries (male: $Syngap 1^{+/+} 21.7 \pm 4.8$ entries, $Syngap 1^{+/L813Rfsx22} 33.3 \pm 3.7$ entries, P = 0.25: female; $Syngap 1^{+/+} 21.3 \pm 3.3$ entries, $Syngap 1^{+/L813Rfsx22} 33.1 \pm 4.3$ entries, P = 0.20; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test) (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S4 *A*, *Right*).

Next, we conducted the spontaneous alternation Y-maze testing in Syngap1^{+/c.3583_9G>A} mice (Fig. 6C). Similar to Syngap1^{+/-} mice and Syngap1^{+/L813Rfsc22} mice, Syngap1^{+/c.3583_9G>A} mice showed a decreased number of spontaneous alternations (Syngap1^{+/+} 69.9 \pm 2.9% alternation, Syngap1^{+/c.3583_9G>A} 52.7 \pm 3.2% alternation, ***P < 0.001 two-tailed t test; Fig. 6 C, Left), more repetitive arm entries (Syngap1^{+/+} 8.0 \pm 0.8 repetitions, Syngap1^{+/c.3583_9G>A} 18.6 \pm 1.3 repetitions, ***P < 0.001 two-tailed t test; Fig. 6 C, Middle), and an increased number of total arm entries in 5 min (Syngap1^{+/+} 24.8 \pm 1.5 entries, Syngap1^{+/c.3583_9G>A} 37.6 \pm 2.4 entries, ***P < 0.001 two-tailed t test; Fig. 6 C, Middle).

Again these findings were observed in both sexes including decreased alternations (male; $Syngap 1^{+/-} 74.5 \pm 6.2\%$ alternation, $Syngap 1^{+/-,3583}_{-,9G>A} 57.6 \pm 3.1\%$ alternation, *P < 0.05: female; $Syngap 1^{+/-} 63.5 \pm 5.4\%$ alternation, $Syngap 1^{+/-,3583}_{-,9G>A} 47.9 \pm 5.3\%$ alternation, P = 0.07; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test; *SI Appendix*, Fig. S4 *B*, *Left*), increased repetitive arm visits



Alternation

No alternation/

+5JE1871+

\$

\$

*^c.^{3583G2}4 J

Repetitions

This is an investigation of knock-in mouse models with pathogenic Syngap1 variants found in people with SRID. Using CRISPR-Cas9 technology, we generated one SRID mouse model harboring a frameshift mutation, L813RfsX22, and a second model with an intronic mutation that creates a cryptic splice site, c.3583-9G>A. While Syngap1 transcript levels are decreased by ~35 to 40% in these models, importantly both models show reduction of Syngap1 protein by half, deficits in synaptic plasticity, and abnormal behavior including hyperactivity, repetitive behavior, and impaired working memory. The causality and severity of disease-associated

human mutations can be modeled in cell-based experimental platforms including human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs)

and terminally differentiated cells such as induced neurons (42).

However, the impact of a mutation on the developed brain

not an alternation). (B) Spontaneous alternation rate of arm visits (% alternation), the number of repetitive arm visits (# repetitions), and the number of arm visits (# arm entries) for wild type ($Syngap1^{+/+}$) or $Syngap1^{+/L813RfsX22}$ are shown. A two-tailed *t* test was performed (**P* < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001). (C) % alternation, # repetitions, and # arm entries of for wild type (Syngap1+/+) or Syngap1+/c.3583-9G>A are shown. A two-tailed t test was performed (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001).

(especially with splicing-related mutations) is difficult to predict without generating an animal model, and our results establish both mutations as equally sufficient to cause SRID phenotypes.

Fig. 6. Recapitulation of working memory deficits, repetitive behavior,

and hyperactivity in SRID model mice. (A) Diagram of the experimental

Y-maze setup. An arm entry was recorded as an alternation when the

mouse fully entered an arm that it had not visited most recently (e.g.,

arm A to arm B to arm C is an alternation; arm A to arm B to arm A is

Model Mice Recapitulate Key Endophenotypes of SRID. The clinical features of SRID include global developmental delay, seizures, skeletal abnormalities, hypotonia, strabismus, constipation, failure

to thrive, hyperactivity, and autistic behaviors including repetitive behavior and social deficits. The prevalence of SRID in males and females is approximately equal, and there is no known sexual predominance (26, 43). Like humans with SRID, both $Syngap1^{+/}$ ^{L813Rfsx22} and $Syngap1^{+/c.3583}_{-9G>A}$ mice display working memory impairment, hyperactivity, and repetitive behavior. Importantly, these findings were observed irrespective of sex (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 A and B), which is consistent with human data on cognitive function in males and females with SRID (25). Previously, several groups have found that many behavioral phenotypes are shared between male and female $Syngap 1^{+/-}$ mice (44–47). In contrast, one group reported decreased latency to fall in the rotarod test only in females (48), and another study showed a correlation in PSDs between decreased steady-state Syngap1 protein and higher amounts of TARPs only in females and not in males (49). Further studies are necessary to clarify the effect of sex on the phenotypes of the Syngap $1^{+/-}$ as well as the novel SRID mouse lines used in the present study. Our findings provide two mouse models that recapitulate behaviors found in SRID in both sexes and will be valuable resources to

Α

further study features of SRID including epilepsy and abnormal social interaction.

Findings in SRID Mice Support a Loss-of-Function Mechanism for Disease Pathogenesis. Supporting a loss-of-function mechanism of disease, both SRID mouse models show a reduction in Syngap1 mRNA that varies from 30 to 50% depending on the specific mutation. With northern blots, qRT-PCR, and RNA-seq, we confirmed that the impact of the cryptic splice acceptor in Syngap1^{+/c.3583_9G5A} mice is dominant to the wild-type acceptor and is confined to the specific splicing site. This is important due to the complex nature of splicing regulation and is relevant for therapeutic development. We also found that transcripts lacking exons 6 and 7 in Syngap1^{+/-} mice can persist in a presumed translationally inactive state, as do some N-terminal isoforms including the D isoform. The mechanism of such escape from NMD is not clear.

Both SRID mouse models also show reduced Syngap1 protein and behaviors that resemble those in SRID. While homozygous knockout (Syngap $1^{-/-}$) mice die within a week of birth, previous studies have shown that heterozygous knock-out mice $(Syngap 1^{+/-})$ are viable and show increased RAS/MAPK signaling and LTP impairment at Shaffer collateral-CA1 synapses in the hippocampal slices, as well as seizures and behavior abnormalities including hyperactivity, social deficits, and poor working memory (44, 45, 47, 48, 50, 51). As behavioral deficits in Syngap $I^{+/-}$ mice closely resemble those found in SRID, it has been hypothesized that a loss-of-function mechanism underlies SRID pathogenesis (32). Here, we show that two different knock-in mouse models with known SRID mutations indeed both show half the normal amount of Syngap1 protein and phenotypically recapitulate multiple clinical features of SRID, implicating NMD and SYNGAP1 haploinsufficiency as the core of pathogenesis.

RNA-seq of Syngap1 Mice Enables Transcriptome-Wide Discovery

of Downstream Changes and Potential Biomarkers. Our RNAseq data revealed highly significant changes in several genes associated with synaptic plasticity, intrinsic excitability, transcription factors, and NDD including *Stim2*, *Elk1*, *Aes* (*Tle5*), *Mrm1*, *Sec63*, *Wwox*, and *Camk4*, suggesting widespread transcriptional changes that may contribute to or counteract the phenotypic features of SRID. These results will aid the characterization of SRID pathophysiology and provide candidate biomarkers for diagnosis and treatment.

New Syngap1 Mouse Models for SRID Treatment Development.

The present study shows that mice with distinct SRID mutations recapitulate phenotypic features of SRID and provide a framework for different areas of therapeutic intervention. While case reports suggest potential efficacy of various medications including statins (52), more research is needed, and currently, there is no standard-of-care disease-modifying treatment for SRID (25). In preclinical literature, interventions including lovastatin treatment in hippocampal slices (53) and acute perampanel treatment (53) have been explored. However, studies showing definitive phenotypic rescue in *Syngap* $1^{+/-}$ mice with pharmacologic treatment are lacking. For example, treatment of *Syngap* $1^{+/-}$ mice with the MEK inhibitor PD-0325901 did not improve LTP impairment (54). Our findings establish two novel mouse lines as excellent models to further interrogate SRID pathophysiology and test potential treatments. These mouse models will complement existing Syngap 1 mutant mice and other model animals by providing a diversity of causal mutations to accelerate safe and generally applicable therapeutic development.

Materials and Methods

Reagents. All restriction enzymes were obtained from New England Biolabs. Chemicals were obtained from SIGMA-Aldrich unless otherwise specified. TTX, bicuculline, and strychnine were obtained from TOCRIS Bioscience. SynGAP antibodies used included SynGAP (Sigma Aldrich SAB2501893), SynGAP- α 1 (Santa Cruz sc-8572), pan-SynGAP (Thermo scientific PA-1-046), as well as isoform-specific SynGAP antibodies previously developed in the Richard Huganir laboratory: SynGAP- α 1 JH2469, SynGAP- α 2 JH7265, SynGAP- β JH7206, and SynGAP- γ JH7366 (17). Other antibodies used included Phosphorylated ERK (Cell Signaling Technology 9106) and total ERK (Cell Signaling Technology 9102) and Tubulin (Sigma Aldrich T5168). DNA sequencing was performed at the Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine Sequencing Facility.

Animals. Syngap 1^{+/c.3583-9G>A} mutants, Syngap 1^{+/L813RfsX22} mutants, and wildtype littermates were maintained on a mixed background of C57/B6J and 129/ SvEv background strains. All animals were housed in the Johns Hopkins University animal facility. Animals were allowed ad libitum access to food and water and were reared on a typical 12-h light-dark cycle. All animal experiments utilized both male and female mice at specified ages and were conducted in accordance with the guidelines implemented by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Johns Hopkins University.

CRISPR/Cas9-Based Mouse Gene Engineering. All mouse gene engineering steps were performed by the Johns Hopkins Transgenic Core. One-cell stage fertilized C57BL/6 mouse embryos were injected by Cas9 protein, crRNA, tracrRNA, and homology directed repair DNA template. Guide RNA sequences are 5'-GAGCTGCTCGTGCAGTATGC-3' for L813RfsX22 and 5'-GTACGGGGTCATGTGCCCGG-3' for c.3583-9G>A. Homology directed repair donor templates are 5'-ACCACCA CCC GGTGGGGGTAAAGACCTTTTCTATGTGTCTAGACCTCCTCGACCAGTTCCACATCAACATACT GCACGAGCAGCTCGGACATCACAGAGCCAGAGCA-3' (plus strand) for L813RfsX 22 and 5'-CGAATGTATCTCCTCCTCATACTCCTTCACCTGCCAGCTGGG CACACGACCCCGCAC TATGAGGGGGCGCCCAGCCTTGGCTTTACCAGCCCACTCCCAT-3' (minus strand) for c.3583-9G>A. Donor templates and crRNAs were synthesized by IDT. Offspring were screened by PCR with primers flanking the introduced mutations followed by diagnostic restriction digests. For L813RfsX22 forward (5'- TTGCTTCCAACAGCTCTATGGAC -3') and reverse (5'-AACACTGCTACTGTTAAGGCGAC -3') primers amplify a 256 bp PCR product. After digestion with Xbal, mutant products will be cut to generate 154 and 102 bp fragments. For c.3583-9G>A, forward (5'- ACCACCTTGAAGAAGCCTCAG -3') and reverse (5'- GCAACCTCCGCTCATACTCT -3') primers amplify a 270-bp product. After digestion with Pvull, mutant products will be cut to generate 180 and 90 bp fragments. Sanger sequencing was performed on all mutant mice to confirm that HDR donor templates were accurately introduced into the genome.

Southern Blotting. The overall structure of the genome before and after recombination was confirmed by Southern blotting using standard techniques as previously described (10). For the L813RfsX22 mutation, a 400-bp DNA fragment upstream of exon15 was used as a probe. For c.3583-9G> a, a 445-bp DNA fragment upstream of exon 17 was used as a probe. Probes were labeled with ³²P using the Prime-It II Random Primer Labeling Kit (Agilent Cat. #300385).

RNA Extraction and Northern Blotting. Total brain RNA from 1-3-mo-old mice was isolated by TRIzol (Invitrogen Cat. #15596026). Samples were homogenized in TRIzol. Chloroform was added to homogenates, and the samples were shaken vigorously for 15 s. Samples were incubated at room temperature for 3 min and centrifuged at 13,000 ×g for 15 min at 4 °C. The aqueous phase was carefully removed and applied to a genomic DNA elimination column (approximately 350 µL) (Qiagen RNeasy Plus kit, catalog no. 74136). The column was centrifuged for 30 s at 13,000 \times g. After extraction, RNA concentration was measured using a Nanodrop (Thermo Scientific) and stored at -80 °C. Ten micrograms of total RNA was subjected to electrophoresis in a 0.9% denaturing agarose gel submerged in MOPS buffer (20 mM MOPS, 5 mM sodium acetate, and 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.0). RNA was transferred to Hybond-N+ membranes (GE Healthcare, Cat #RPN303B) by the capillary transfer method using blotting paper. cDNA probes were labeled with ³²P using the Prime-It II Random Primer Labeling Kit (Agilent Cat. #300385). The Syngap1 probe corresponds to NM_001281491 nucleotides 1,361 to 2,002. The Rps26 probe corresponds to NM_013765.2 nucleotides 44 to 381. Membranes were hybridized overnight at 65 °C with probes in SDS-PIPES buffer (50 mM

PIPES, 100 mM NaCl, 50 mM NaH $_2$ PO $_4$, 1 mM EDTA, and 5% SDS pH6.8), washed, and visualized by autoradiograms.

Quantitative Reverse Transcription PCR (qRT-PCR). Five hundred nanograms of RNA from each sample was reverse-transcribed with Superscript IV (Invitrogen). qPCR amplifications were carried out in 96-well plates using a CFX Connect (Bio-Rad). The following TaqMan assay was used for *Syngap1*: forward primer 5'-CCGGACCAGCAGCTTTC; reverse primer 5'-CCCAGGATGGAGCTGTG, probe 5'-CCGAAGTGCTGACCATGACCGG. mRNA expression levels were normalized to the housekeeping gene *Actb*, using the Mm.PT.58.29001744.g (IDT) assay in a multiplexed fashion. Results were calculated with the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method.

RNA-seq Library Preparation and Analysis. RNA samples were enriched for mRNA through bead-based polyA selection, and libraries were generated with the NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina). cDNA libraries were barcoded and sequenced together on an Illumina Hiseq 4000 sequencer, generating 2 × 150-bp paired-end (PE) reads. The sequencing library was validated on the Agilent TapeStation (Agilent Technologies) and quantified by using the Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen) as well as by quantitative PCR (KAPA Biosystems).

The RNA-Seq pipeline from the bcbio-nextgen project (https://doi. org/10.5281/zenodo.3564938) and the bcbioRNASeq R package (55) were used to process and analyze all samples. The alignment of reads to the Genome Reference Consortium Mouse Reference build number 38 (GRCm38) of the mouse genome (mm10), which was supplemented with transcript information from Ensembl, was performed using STAR (56). FeatureCounts (57) was used to generate counts of reads aligning to known genes, which were then used in quality control measures. Gene counts were computed with the fast inference algorithm of Salmon (58) and imported with tximport. The quality of STAR alignments was assessed for evenness of coverage, ribosomal RNA content, exon and intron mapping rate, complexity, and other criteria using FastQC (https://www. bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/), Qualimap (59), and MultiQC (60). Both principal component analysis and hierarchical clustering methods were used to cluster samples in an unsupervised manner. This was done using rlogtransformed reads to identify possible outliers and technical artifacts. Samples exhibiting low mapping rates (<70%) or low RIN values and 5' > 3' biases were excluded from the analysis.

Differential expression at the gene level was determined using DESeq2 (61) with a false discovery rate of 0.1 and absolute log2 fold change value threshold of 0.1, correcting for rRNA ratio and sex. Genes with a base mean value of less than 100 were discarded. Gene set enrichment analyses (GSEAs) were performed on lists of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) for GO BP term enrichment without cutoffs using clusterProfiler (41) and fold change calculations from DESeq2. Functional gene sets with a false discovery rate-adjusted p value less than 0.05 were considered enriched. spliceSites (https://github.com/wokai/spliceSites) was used to quantify splice donor and acceptor sites. For N-terminal isoforms, we follow the nomenclature previously proposed (18). We follow the exon numbering of full-length mouse *Syngap1*A2- γ (gamma) transcript (XM_006524243.2), with the last exon of $\alpha 1/\alpha 2$ designated as exon 20.

Western Blotting. Brain tissue was excised from C57BL6 mice at specified ages (~3 to 4 mo old). Tissue was lysed in 10 volumes of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.2% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, with cOmplete Protease inhibitor EDTA-free mix (Roche/SIGMA) by Dounce A homogenizer). Protein concentrations were measured by the Pierce BCA assay kit (Pierce 23225). Equal protein amounts (10 μ g) were loaded into each lane. After probing by primary and secondary antibodies, signals were measured by a fluorescence-based imaging system for our quantitative western blotting (Odyssey® CLx Imaging System). Fluorescence detection is suitable for quantitative immunoblotting across large dynamic ranges (62-65). Fifty percent of the first experimental lane was run in the left-most lane in order to assure the given quantification is linear in every primary-secondary antibody combination.

Electrophysiology.

Acute slice preparation. Syngap $1^{+/c.3583-9G>A}$ mice and Syngap $1^{+/l.813RfsX22}$ mice (4 to 7 mo of age), along with their respective wild-type littermates, were transcardially perfused with ice-cold oxygenated (95% $O_2/5\%$ CO₂) dissection buffer (212.7 mM sucrose, 5 mM KCl, 1.25 mM Na₂PO₄, 10 mM glucose, 26 mM NaHCO₃, 0.5 mM CaCl₂, and 10 mM MgCl₂) under isoflurane anesthesia immediately prior to

decapitation. The brain was rapidly removed from the skull, and the anterior surface of the brain was cut at ~15° with respect to the anatomical coronal plane with the cut penetrating deeper along the ventral-to-dorsal axis in continuously oxygenated dissection buffer. Acute transverse hippocampal slices (400 μ m thickness) were then prepared using a vibratome (Leica VT1200S) and were briefly washed of the sucrose-based dissection buffer in oxygenated artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) composed of (in mM): 119 NaCl, 5 KCl, 1.25 Na₂PO₄, 26 NaHCO₃, 10 glucose, 2.5 CaCl₂, and 1.5 MgCl₂. Slices were recovered in a chamber containing ACSF at 30 °C for 30 min and then transferred to room temperature for an additional 60 min or until used for electrophysiological recordings. The experimenter was blinded to genotype until all experiments, and analyses were completed.

Extracellular LTP recordings. Slices were placed in a submersion recording chamber with recirculating oxygenated (95% O₂/5% CO₂) ACSF at 30 °C. Synaptic field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (fEPSPs) were evoked in response to electrical stimulation of the Schaeffer collateral inputs via a bipolar theta glass Aq/AqCl electrode (3 M Ω) containing ACSF. The baseline stimulation intensity was determined prior to recording by measuring the stimulation that is sufficient to evoke a half-maximal fEPSP amplitude, which is half of the threshold for eliciting a population spike. Upon starting an LTP recording, the baseline stimulation intensity was used to measure the fEPSP slope over a stable 20-min baseline period in response to a single 0.2-ms stimulation pulse delivered every 30 s. Absolute inclusion criteria for sample LTP recordings required a minimum stable baseline period of 10 min whereby the baseline fEPSP slope did not drift by >10%. To induce LTP, 4 episodes of TBS were triggered at 0.1 Hz. Each TBS episode consisted of 10 stimulus trains administered at 5 Hz, whereby one train consists of 4 pulses at 100 Hz. Following TBS, fEPSP slope was measured for an additional 60 min by delivering single electrical pulses every 30 s. The magnitude of LTP was quantified by normalizing the fEPSP slope to the average baseline response and then calculating the average fEPSP slope between 40 and 60 min after TBS. Recordings were first performed in Syngap 1^{+/L813RfsX22} mice and wildtype littermates in an alternating fashion across experimental days until data acquisition was complete to best control for day-to-day experimental variability. Subsequently, the same alternating recording pattern was implemented during LTP recording data acquisition from $Syngap 1^{+/c.3589G \rightarrow A}$ and wild-type littermates. Statistical comparisons were made exclusively between either Syngap 1^{+/c.3589G-A} mice or Syngap1^{+/L813RfsX22} mice and wild-type littermates. with a Student t test or Mann–Whitney U test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001.

Behavior: Spontaneous alternation in Y-maze. Mice aged 4 to 6 mo were subjected to the Y-maze spontaneous alternation task in order to assess working memory performance. All groups were approximately evenly divided (45 to 55%) between males and females.

Y-maze spontaneous alternation. Following a 30-min acclimatization period, mice were placed in the center of a three-chamber Y-maze in which the three arms were oriented 120° from one another. Mice were allowed to explore the apparatus for 5 min. Arm entries were recorded when both rear paws passed over the boundary line between the center region and arm region of the apparatus. An arm entry was recorded as an alternation when the mouse fully entered an arm that it had not visited most recently (e.g., arm A to arm B to arm C is an alternation; arm A to arm B to arm A is not an alternation). Percent alternation was calculated as the number of alternating arm entries divided by the total number of arm entries. The Y-maze apparatus was thoroughly cleaned between trials. Arm entries were recorded manually. The experimenter was blinded to genotype until all experiments and analyses were completed.

Statistics. All data are expressed as means \pm SEM of values. Data distributions were tested for normality using specified methods. Parametric tests were used if the data were normally distributed, and nonparametric tests were otherwise used, as detailed in the text. For parametric tests, unpaired/paired *t* tests, one-way/two-way ANOVA tests with Tukey's or Šídák's post hoc multiple comparison correction were used. For data that did not follow normal or log-normal distributions, the Mann–Whitney *U* test and Kruskal–Wallis 1-way ANOVA test were used where appropriate. If a significant interaction between two factors was observed by two-way ANOVA, multiple comparison-corrected Tukey post hoc tests were performed to compare the measures as a function of one factor in each fixed levels of another factor unless otherwise specified. Statistical analyses and preparations of graphs were performed using Excel 16 or GraphPad Prism 9.0 software (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; and ***P < 0.001).

Downloaded from https://www.pnas.org by "JOHN HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, MT WASHINGTON SHERIDAN LIB TECH SERVICES" on September 5, 2023 from IP address 128.220.159.3.

Data, Materials, and Software Availability. Model mice lines that were generated in this study were deposited in the MMRRC at The Jackson Laboratory (600 Main Street, Bar Harbor, ME, 04605). The strain names and stock numbers are as follows: *Syngap*1^{+/L813Rfx22}; B6;129-Syngap1^{em1Rlh}/Mmjax (MMRRC #71391, RRID: MMRRC_71391-JAX), and Syngap 1+/c.3583-9G>A; B6;129-Syngap1^{em2Rlh}/Mmjax (MMRRC #71392, RRID: MMRRC_71392-JAX). All study data are included in the article and/or SI Appendix.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank all members of the Huganir lab for discussion and support throughout this work, especially Shaowen Ju, Ria Oba, Yinuo Han, Hai Tran, August Tingjiao Li, Sam Myung, Sang Ho Kwon, Stephanie

- G. D. Fischbach, C. Lord, The Simons Simplex Collection: A resource for identification of autism 1 genetic risk factors. Neuron **68**, 192–195 (2010). S. J. Sanders et al., Insights into autism spectrum disorder genomic architecture and biology from
- 2 71 risk loci. Neuron 87, 1215-1233 (2015).
- 3 F. K. Satterstrom et al., Large-scale exome sequencing study implicates both developmental and functional changes in the neurobiology of autism. Cell 180, 1-17 (2020).
- Deciphering Developmental Disorders Study, Prevalence and architecture of de novo mutations in developmental disorders. Nature 542, 433-438 (2017).
- Deciphering Developmental Disorders Study, Large-scale discovery of novel genetic causes of 5 developmental disorders. Nature 519, 223-228 (2015).
- J. H. Kim, D. Liao, L. F. Lau, R. L. Huganir, SynGAP: A synaptic RasGAP that associates with the PSD-6. 95/SAP90 protein family. Neuron 20, 683-691 (1998).
- H.J. Chen, M. Rojas-Soto, A. Oguni, M. B. Kennedy, A synaptic Ras-GTPase activating protein (p135 SynGAP) inhibited by CaM kinase II. *Neuron* 20, 895–904 (1998).
 H. J. Carlisle, P. Manzerra, E. Marcora, M. B. Kennedy, SynGAP regulates steady-state and activity-7.
- 8 dependent phosphorylation of cofilin. J. Neurosci. 28, 13673-13683 (2008).
- 9. G. Rumbaugh, J. P. Adams, J. H. Kim, R. L. Huganir, SynGAP regulates synaptic strength and mitogen-activated protein kinases in cultured neurons. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 103, 4344-4351 (2006).
- 10 J. H. Kim, H. K. Lee, K. Takamiya, R. L. Huganir, The role of synaptic GTPase-activating protein in neuronal development and synaptic plasticity. J. Neurosci. 23, 1119-1124 (2003).
- N. H. Komiyama et al., SynGAP regulates ERK/MAPK signaling, synaptic plasticity, and learning in the complex with postsynaptic density 95 and NMDA receptor. J. Neurosci. 22, 9721-9732 (2002).
- Y. Araki, M. Zeng, M. Zhang, R. L. Huganir, Rapid dispersion of SynGAP from synaptic spines triggers AMPA receptor insertion and spine enlargement during LTP. Neuron 85, 173-189 (2015).
- Y. Yang, J. H. Tao-Cheng, T. S. Reese, A. Dosemeci, SynGAP moves out of the core of the postsynaptic 13. density upon depolarization. Neuroscience 192, 132-139 (2011).
- M. Zeng et al., Phase transition in postsynaptic densities underlies formation of synaptic complexes and synaptic plasticity. *Cell* 166, 1163-1175.e12 (2016).
 A. C. McMahon et al., SynGAP isoforms exert opposing effects on synaptic strength. *Nat. Commun.* 3, 14.
- 15. 900 (2012).
- W. Li et al., Characterization of a novel synGAP isoform, synGAP-beta. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 16. 21417-21424 (2001).
- 17. Y. Araki et al., SynGAP isoforms differentially regulate synaptic plasticity and dendritic development. Elife 9, e56273 (2020).
- 18 G. Gou et al., SynGAP splice variants display heterogeneous spatio-temporal expression and subcellular distribution in the developing mammalian brain. J. Neurochem. 154, 618-634 (2020). W. Li et al., Characterization of a novel synGAP isoform, synGAP-beta. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 19.
- 21417-21424 (2001)
- A. C. McMahon et al., SynGAP isoforms exert opposing effects on synaptic strength. Nat. Commun. 3, 20. 900 (2012).
- 21. F. F. Hamdan et al., Mutations in SYNGAP1 in autosomal nonsyndromic mental retardation. N. Engl. J. Med. 360, 599-605 (2009).
- E. H. Cook Jr., De novo autosomal dominant mutation in SYNGAP1. Autism Res. 4, 155-156 22. (2011).
- F.F. Hamdan et al., De novo SYNGAP1 mutations in nonsyndromic intellectual disability and autism. Biol. Psychiatry 69, 898–901 (2011). 23.
- M. H. Beryer et al., Mutations in SYNGAP1 cause intellectual disability, autism and a specific form of epilepsy by inducing haploinsufficiency. *Hum. Mutat.* **34**, 385–394 (2012), 10.1002/humu.22248. 24 J. L. Holder Jr., F. F. Hamdan, J. L. Michaud, "SYNGAP1-Related Intellectual Disability" in 25
- GeneReviews[®], M. P. Adam et al., Eds. (Seattle, WA, 2019). C. Mignot et al., Genetic and neurodevelopmental spectrum of SYNGAP1-associated intellectual 26
- disability and epilepsy. J. Med. Genet 53, 511-522 (2016), 10.1136/jmedgenet-2015-103451 D. R. M. Vlaskamp et al., SYNGAP1 encephalopathy: A distinctive generalized developmental and 27.
- epileptic encephalopathy. Neurology 92, e96-e107 (2019). 28. T. Lo Barco et al., SYNGAP1-DEE: A visual sensitive epilepsy. Clin. Neurophysiol. 132, 841-850
- (2021). C. Smith-Hicks et al., Sleep abnormalities in the synaptopathies-SYNGAP1-related intellectual 29
- disability and Phelan-McDermid Syndrome. Brain Sci. 11, 1229 (2021). M. Kilinc *et al.*, Species-conserved SYNGAP1 phenotypes associated with neurodevelopmental 30. disorders. Mol. Cell Neurosci. 91, 140-150 (2018).
- M. Aceti *et al.*, Syngap1 haploinsufficiency damages a postnatal critical period of pyramidal cell structural maturation linked to cortical circuit assembly. *Biol. Psychiatry* **77**, 805–815 (2015). 31.
- J. P. Clement et al., Pathogenic SYNGAP1 mutations impair cognitive development by disrupting 32. maturation of dendritic spine synapses. Cell 151, 709-723 (2012).
- X. Guo et al., Reduced expression of the NMDA receptor-interacting protein SynGAP causes 33 behavioral abnormalities that model symptoms of Schizophrenia. Neuropsychopharmacology 34, 1659-1672 (2009).

Glavaris, Manisha Pradhan, Drs. Timothy R. Gamache, Mengnan Tian, and Bian Liu for reagent preparation and critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by grants from the NIH (MH112151 and NS036715), the SynGAP Research Fund (2020 to 2021), and the Simons Foundation (SFARI Pilot Award 731581). We want to thank the SynGAP Research Fund, the SYNGAP1 Foundation, and individuals with SRID and their families for their support and advocacy.

Author affiliations: ^aDepartment of Neuroscience, Kayli Neuroscience Discovery Institute, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205

- 34. E. Brimble, C. Lee-Messer, P. L. Nagy, J. Propst, M. R. Z. Ruzhnikov, Clinical transcriptome sequencing confirms activation of a cryptic splice site in suspected SYNGAP1-related disorder. Mol. Syndromol. 9, 295-299 (2019).
- 35. T. Kurosaki, M. W. Popp, L. E. Maquat, Quality and quantity control of gene expression by nonsensemediated mRNA decay. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 20, 406-420 (2019).
- 36 L. A. Ibrahim et al., Nova proteins direct synaptic integration of somatostatin interneurons through activity-dependent alternative splicing. Elife 12, e86842 (2023).
- 37. J. H. Kim, H. K. Lee, K. Takamiya, R. L. Huganir, The role of synaptic GTPase-activating protein in neuronal development and synaptic plasticity. J. Neurosci. 23, 1119-1124 (2003).
- A. Besnard, B. Galan-Rodriguez, P. Vanhoutte, J. Caboche, Elk-1 a transcription factor with multiple facets in the brain. Front. Neurosci. 5, 35 (2011).
- 39. A. Berna-Erro, I. Jardin, G. M. Salido, J. A. Rosado, Role of STIM2 in cell function and physiopathology. J. Physiol. 595, 3111-3128 (2017).
- A. Subramanian et al., Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 102, 15545–15550 (2005). 40
- T. Wu et al., clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. Innovation 41. (Camb) 2, 100141 (2021).
- N. Llamosas et al., SYNGAP1 controls the maturation of dendrites, synaptic function, and network 42. activity in developing human neurons. J. Neurosci. 40, 7980–7994 (2020).
- 43. M. J. Parker et al., De novo, heterozygous, loss-of-function mutations in SYNGAP1 cause a syndromic form of intellectual disability. Am. J. Med. Genet A 167A, 2231-2237 (2015).
- M. Muhia et al., Molecular and behavioral changes associated with adult hippocampus-specific 44 SynGAP1 knockout. Learn. Mem. 19, 268-281 (2012).
- J. P. Clement et al., Pathogenic SYNGAP1 mutations impair cognitive development by disrupting maturation of dendritic spine synapses. Cell 151, 709-723 (2012).
- X. Guo et al., Reduced expression of the NMDA receptor-interacting protein SynGAP causes behavioral abnormalities that model symptoms of Schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 34, 46. 1659-1672 (2009).
- N. H. Komiyama *et al.*, SynGAP regulates ERK/MAPK signaling, synaptic plasticity, and learning in the complex with postsynaptic density 95 and NMDA receptor. *J. Neurosci.* 22, 9721–9732 (2002).
- M. Muhia, B. K. Yee, J. Feldon, F. Markopoulos, I. Knuesel, Disruption of hippocampus-regulated 48 behavioural and cognitive processes by heterozygous constitutive deletion of SynGAP. Eur. J. Neurosci. 31, 529-543 (2010).
- 49. T. L. Mastro et al., A sex difference in the response of the rodent postsynaptic density to synGAP haploinsufficiency. Elife 9, e52656 (2020).
- 50 R. Nakajima et al., Comprehensive behavioral analysis of heterozygous Syngap1 knockout mice. Neuropsychopharmacol. Rep. 39, 223-237 (2019).
- M. Kilinc et al., Species-conserved SYNGAP1 phenotypes associated with neurodevelopmental disorders. Mol. Cell Neurosci. 91, 140-150 (2018).
- V. Verma, A. Mandora, A. Botre, J. P. Clement, Identification of an individual with a SYGNAP1 pathogenic mutation in India. Mol. Biol. Rep. 47, 9225-9234 (2020).
- G. Kluger, C. von Stulpnagel-Steinbeis, S. Arnold, K. Eschermann, T. Hartlieb, Positive short-term effect of low-dose rosuvastatin in a patient with SYNGAP1-associated epilepsy. Neuropediatrics 50, 266-267 (2019).
- M. V. Kopanitsa et al., Chronic treatment with a MEK inhibitor reverses enhanced excitatory field 54 potentials in Syngap1(+/-) mice. Pharmacol. Rep. 70, 777-783 (2018).
- M.J. Steinbaugh et al., bcbioRNASeq: R package for bcbio RNA-seq analysis. F1000 Res. 6, 1976 (2018). 55.
- A. Dobin et al., STAR: Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 29, 15-21 (2013). 56 57 Y. Liao, G. K. Smyth, W. Shi, featureCounts: An efficient general purpose program for assigning
- sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics 30, 923-930 (2014). 58
- R. Patro, G. Duggal, M. I. Love, R. A. Irizarry, C. Kingsford, Salmon provides fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. Nat. Methods 14, 417-419 (2017).
- F. Garcia-Alcalde et al., Qualimap: Evaluating next-generation sequencing alignment data. 59 Bioinformatics 28, 2678-2679 (2012).
- 60. P. Ewels, M. Magnusson, S. Lundin, M. Kaller, MultiQC: Summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics 32, 3047-3048 (2016).
- M. I. Love, W. Huber, S. Anders, Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 15, 550 (2014).
- 62. S. Weldon, K. Ambroz, A. Schutz-Geschwender, D. M. Olive, Near-infrared fluorescence detection permits accurate imaging of loading controls for Western blot analysis. Anal. Biochem. 375, 156-158 (2008).
- 63. P. M. Gerk, Quantitative immunofluorescent blotting of the multidrug resistance-associated protein 2 (MRP2). J. Pharmacol. Toxicol. Methods 63, 279-282 (2011).
- 64. Y. V. Wang et al., Quantitative analyses reveal the importance of regulated Hdmx degradation for p53 activation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104, 12365-12370 (2007).
- 65 C. J. Bakkenist et al., A quasi-quantitative dual multiplexed immunoblot method to simultaneously analyze ATM and H2AX Phosphorylation in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Oncoscience 2, 542-554 (2015).